

Image plane corrections



S. Bhatnagar (NRAO, Socorro) T.J.Cornwell (ATNF), K.Golap (NRAO, Socorro)



The Measurement Equation





Visibility correction

- In the absence of direction dependent errors
- Observed data is corrected as
- Imaging is done using the corrected visibilities Imaging and calibration are decoupled

$$V_{ij}^{Obs} = J_{ij} V_{ij}^{o}$$
$$V_{ij}^{Corr} = J_{ij}^{-1} V_{ij}^{Obs}$$

- Antenna independent direction dependent corruptions (e.g. Primary beam effects) can be written as multiplicative terms in the image domain. $V_{ij}^{M} = FT[J^{S}I^{M}]_{ij}$
- This can be used in an iterative deconvolution scheme to make I^M which is corrected for J^S (VLA Poln. squint correction, mosaicking, etc.)

$$\vec{V}^{Obs} = E * \vec{V}^M$$
 and $\Delta I^D \propto \Re \sum_{ij} \left[J_{ij}^{S^{*'}} \Delta V_{ij} e^{i S.B_{ij}} \right]$



Visibility correction

• For effects which are separable as antenna based effects

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{ij}^{S} = \boldsymbol{J}_{i}^{S} \otimes \boldsymbol{J}_{j}^{S*}$$

• When $J_i^s \neq J_i^s$, effects of J_{ij}^s cannot be reduced to the convolution of the visibility plane by a single function. $V_{ij}^{Obs} = E_{ij} * V_{ij}^o$

• Visibility domain filters vary across the visibility plane.



Visibility inversion

 $\vec{V}^{Obs} = E[A\vec{I}^o]$

- *V,I* : The visibility and image vectors
- A: The Measurement Matrix

E: The direction dependent effect in the Fourier plane

- To use FFT for Fourier transforming, re-sampling is done by convolutional gridding $V_{ii}^{M} = \left[\boldsymbol{C} [\boldsymbol{A} \vec{I}^{M}] \right] (u_{ii}, v_{ii})$
- Major cycle: $\Delta \vec{I}^{D} = A^{T} [C^{T} \vec{V}^{R}]$
- Minor cycle: $\vec{I}_{i}^{M} = \vec{I}_{i-1}^{M} + \alpha \Delta \vec{I}^{D}$
- Direction dependent effects can be incorporated in imaging by using *EA* as the transform operator



Pieces of the puzzle

- Efficient algorithms to include image plane effects during deconvolution
 - Forward transform (Vis -> Image) (no less accurate than the PSF used for deconvolution)
 - Inverse transform (accurate)
- Decomposition of the sky in an appropriate basis
- Solvers for the "unknown" image plane effects
 - Larger computers! (More memory, CPU power)



Known direction dependent effects

• Non-coplanar baselines

$$V(u, v, w) = \iint I(l, m) e^{2\pi i \left(u + v m + w \left(\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2} - 1 \right) \right)} \frac{dl \, dm}{\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}}$$

• Traditional approach: Faceting



 $V_{ii}^{Obs}(u, v, w) = E_{ii} * V_{ii}^{M}(u, v, w = 0) \text{ where } E_{ii} = FT [e^{2\pi i w(\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2})}]$

W-projection: Visibility filtering (>10x faster)



W-projection: Example



S. Bhatnagar (NRAO, Socorro): URSI GA, Oct. 24, 2005, New Delhi

Measured direction dependent effects

• E_{ii} as a function of direction is measured a priori

$$V_{ij}^{M} = [E_{ij} * [AI^{M}]_{ij}] \text{ where } E_{ij}(l_{i}, l_{j}, u_{ij}; p_{i}, p_{j})$$

• <u>Aperture Function</u>: E_{ij} separate for each poln. product pq $E_{ij}^{pq} = E^{pq^o} f(\phi_i - \phi_j) e^{\iota(\phi_i + \phi_j)}$

Needs a solver: Pointing SelfCal

Asymmetric Primary Beams:

$$E_{ij} = E_i^o * E_j^o$$
 where $E_i^o = FT[Measured PB_i]$



PB-projection

Full beam polarimetry: Squint corrected Stokes-V imaging

Typical NVSS field: Peak 190mJy



Visibility filters computed for 15° PA increments. Azimuthally symmetric Primary Beams.

S. Bhatnagar (NRAO, Socorro): URSI GA, Oct. 24, 2005, New Delhi



Aperture function

Model for VLA antenna illumination at L-band (courtesy W.Brisken)





Variable side-lobe gain





PB-projection: Stokes-I

Stokes-I imaging with and without PB effects (Polarization squint, Pointing offsets, PB rotation)





PB-Projection: Stokes-V

Stokes-V imaging with and without PB effects (Polarization squint, Pointing offsets, PB rotation)





Pointing Solver: Motivation

 Single pointing L-Band observations limited due to pointing ~10microJy/beam.

- EVLA L-band sensitivity: 1microJy/beam
- Mosaicking dynamic range limited by pointing errors.
 - Significant fraction of ALMA observation will be mosaicking observations.
- Significantly increased computing:
 - Each iteration involves expensive visibility prediction



Pointing correction



Continuous lines: Typical antenna pointing offsets for VLA as a function of time (Mean between +/-25" and RMS of 5").

Dashed lines: Residual pointing errors. RMS ~1".

S. Bhatnagar (NRAO, Socorro): URSI GA, Oct. 24, 2005, New Delhi

20



Pointing correction

Details in EVLA Memo 84 (2004)

http://www.aoc.nrao.edu/evla/geninfo/memoseries/evlamemo84.pdf



RMS: 15microJy/beam vs. 1microJy/beam Peak: 250microJy vs. 5 microJy

Model image as components



Pointing SelfCal

- Model image: deconvolved using entire data
- Pixelated model image





Pointing SelfCal

• Stokes-I imaging: Before and after pointing correction





Pointing SelfCal

- Stokes-V imaging: Need to use component imaging?
- Use illumination patterns (work in progress)





Where to from here...

- Eliminate aperture function re-gridding
- SelfCal <-> imaging iterations
- Component based imaging and prediction (Asp-Clean + PB-Projection + W-Projection)
- Is current deep L-band imaging pointing-error limited?
- Mosaicking dynamic range limited by pointing errors?
- Wide-band imaging (EVLA memo #?)
 - Use PB-projection to correct for PB scaling
 - MSF extensions: Freq. sensitive image plane modeling (Component based imaging?)



Scale sensitive imaging: Asp-Clean

Pixel-to-pixel noise in the image is correlated

 $I^{D} = B * I^{o} + B * I^{N}$ where B = PSF

- The scale of emission *fundamentally* separates signal (*I*°) from the noise (*I*^N).
- Asp-Clean (Bhatnagar & Cornwell, A&A,2004)
 - Search for local scale, amplitude and position



S. Bhatnagar (NRAO, Socorro): URSI GA, Oct. 24, 2005, New Delhi



Wide-band continuum imaging

EVLA bandwidth ratio of 2:1

$$V(u_{ij}, v_{ij}) = \sum_{v_k} V(u_{ij}, v_{ij}; v_k) = \sum_{v_k} P_{ij}(v_k) FT[I^D(v_k)]$$

Sky emission, the Primary Beams, etc. are a function of frequency. Ideas: Apply PB effects during predict using pb-projection. Parameterized sky model for prediction.

 Combining Scale sensitive + frequency sensitive deconvolution with image plane corrections.



Computing and I/O costs

- Significant increase in run-time due to more sophisticated parameterization
 - Deconvolution: Fast evaluation of
 - Calibration: Fast evaluation of

$$B * \sum_{k} A_{k} P(Scale_{k}, Pos_{k})$$
$$E_{ij} * V_{ij}$$

- Cost of computing residual visibilities is dominated by I/O costs for large datasets (~200GB for EVLA)
 - Deconvolution: Approx. 20 access of the entire dataset
 - Calibration: Each trial step in the search accesses the entire dataset
- Solutions: Analytical approximations, caching, Parallel computing and I/O,...



Wide-band imaging: The problem

•IF1 – IF2: 50MHz apart

• Spectral index? Frequency dependence of the PBs?



• EVLA bandwidth ratio of 2:1



General approach for imaging

 Design filters to be used as Gridding Convolution Functions (GCF) for accurate forward transform (major cycle)

$$V^{M}(u_{ij}) = \left[E_{ij}(u) * [A I^{M}](n \Delta u) \right](u_{ij})$$

- Use an approximation for the inverse transform to compute the update direction (minor cycle)
- Major cycle: $\vec{I}^R = B[\vec{V}^{Obs} A'\vec{I}^M]$ where $B \approx A'^T$

• Minor cycle:
$$\vec{V}^M = A' \vec{I}^M$$