Combining single dish and interferometer data for joint wideband multi-term deconvolution



Urvashi Rau National Radio Astronomy Observatory

& **Nikhil Naik** IIT-Kharagpur, India (2017 NRAO summer intern who wrote the initial prototype)

> NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk 11 July 2018





Goals

- Solve the short-spacing problem for wideband multi-term imaging
 - Reconstructions of large scale spectra are particularly error prone with INT-only data
- Prototype a generic algorithmic framework for joint SD+INT reconstructions
 - Retain the benefits of multiple existing algorithms
 - Ensure flexibility in algorithm and image-type choices
- Explore robustness to differing noise levels between SD and INT data/images
 - Is it possible to relax current constraints on required SD observing time ?
 - How much SD uv-coverage (and overlap with INT) is sufficient?



For which scales can we reconstruct the spectrum



NRA

NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk

For which scales can we reconstruct the spectrum



NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk

For which scales can we reconstruct the spectrum



Very large scales : Unconstrained Spectrum

The spectrum at the largest spatial scales is NOT constrained by the data



U.Rau

NRAC



True sky has one steep spectrum point, and a flat-spectrum extended emission

Leave out shortest baselines

No short spacings to constrain the spectra

=> False steep spectrum reconstruction

Very large scales : Need additional information

External short-spacing constraints (visibility data, or starting image model)



U.Rau





True sky has one steep spectrum point, and a flat-spectrum extended emission

Retain some short spacing information.

Correct reconstruction of a flat spectrum

=> So, how to add this information ?

NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk

Wideband data : SD-only vs INT-only vs SD+INT



Degree of overlap between SD and INT depends on single dish diameter => Different algorithms apply (post-reconstruction combination vs joint modeling)



Approaches for combining INT and SD data/images

- [1/3] Feathering : Combine SD observed image and INT reconstructed image.
 - A weighted sum in the uv-domain
 - The FT of the SD beam is used as the weighting function
 - Scale factor chosen empirically (or as the ratio of beam areas)

(CASA, AIPS, OBIT, MIRIAD all have slightly different implementations. Several other efforts/ideas exist)

- It is usually used as a post-deconvolution combination
- The effect of the empirical scale factor is also burnt into the result
 (=> significant art involved in choosing proper relative weighting schemes)
- [2/3] StartModel : Use a deconvolved SD image as a starting model for the INT reconstruction
 - Effective when there is significant overlap between INT and SD uv-spacings



•

Approaches for combining INT and SD data/images

• [3/3] Joint reconstructions : Build a sky model using SD+INT together

Method 1 : Combine SD and INT images **and PSFs** before deconvolution.

 Scale factors and empirical weight functions enter the reconstruction as a choice of data weighting (robust, uniform, etc)
 Stanimirovic et al, 1999 : Construct an image-domain weighted sum prior to one deconvolution cycle

Method 2 : Add image-domain constraints to non-linear solvers (e.g. MEM)

– MOSMEM (miriad) implements a narrow-band version MIRIAD task documentation : User-supplied scale factors + auto-matching of visibility levels.

Method 3 : Create artificial visibilities from single dish data

 Use a random visibility sampling function within the UV footprint of the SD telescope. Simulate a list of visibilities.

- Make up meta-data to match what an interferometer measures Koda et al 2011, 2017 : Implemented and demonstrated this approach for ALMA via 'tp2vis'.

 Our Approach : Feather SD and INT residual images and PSFs in-between standard major/minor cycle iterations.



Approaches for combining INT and SD data/images

- Dealing with Interferometer Primary Beams (and mosaics)
 - INT observed image = (sky . INT_pb) * INT_psf INT_model = (sky . INT_pb)
 - SD observed image = (sky) * SD_pb
 SD_model = (sky)

=> Manipulate either the SD or INT images (with INT PB) to match the other

- e.g. For Feathering, use (INT_model / INT_pb) with SD_model
- e.g. For Startmodel, use (SD_model . INT_pb) with the INT-only reconstruction with flat-noise normalization



e.g. Details for Joint algos depend on algorithm (and normalization)

Our Choice : Wideband SD+INT Multi-Term Imaging





SD and INT wideband simulations (VLA D-config + GBT)



Two extended Gaussian components

15 x 20 arcmin (largely unsampled by INT) 10 x 12 arcmin (partially sampled by INT)

Spectral index = 0.0

Three point sources

Spectral indices = -1.0, -1.0, 0.0

	Frequency	1.0 GHz	1.5 GHz	2.0 GHz	Spacing
•	INT (resolution)	1.0 arcmin	0.67 arcmin	0.5 arcmin	~ 1030m
•	INT (max scale)	30.0 arcmin	19.6 arcmin	14.7 arcmin	~ 35m
•	SD (resolution)	10.3 arcmin	6.8 arcmin	5.1 arcmin	~ 100m



Cubes from INT-only and SD-only data





Wideband multi-term imaging : INT, SD, SD+INT



NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk

NRAC

Comparison with Feathering & Startmodel



NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk

U.Rau

NRAC

Noisy Data : INT-only and SD-only Cubes





NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk

Wideband multi-term imaging : High SD noise



NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk

NRAC

Wideband multi-term imaging : High SD noise + weighting

SD-scale = 0.2 during the Feather step

- (For residual images and PSFs)
- => Data weighting scheme to match the noise levels

Results :

- Lower Residual noise
- Flux Correctness

U.Rau

- Accurate alpha (with frequency-independent feathering functions)





NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk

Summary (so far...)

- Prototyped a generic algorithmic framework for joint SD+INT imaging
 - Spectral Cubes and Wideband Continuum
 - Supports deconvolution for INT-only, SD-only, INT+SD joint.
 - SD data can be handled either as images or SD MeasurementSets
 - Supports the use of custom feathering functions (if needed)
 - Framework allows full range of gridding/deconvolution algorithm choices
 - wide-field gridding (W-Proj/A-Proj), point source or multi-scale
- Demonstrated a solution to the wide-band short-spacing problem
- Promising results for using the implicit weighting scheme to manage SD data with noise levels much higher than INT data.
 - Implications on amount of observing time needed for short-spacing data
 - Paper (nearly) ready for submission (Rau & Naik)



Next Steps.....

- A formal implementation within the ARDG code base
- Commissioning the algorithm on several real data sets
 - G55.7+3.4 SNR, CTB80 SNR, CHANG-ES Galaxy Halos
 - EVLA L-Band Single Pointings and Mosaics
 - GBT VEGAS L-Band Mosaics
 - ALMA M100 Band 3 reference/benchmark dataset
 - 7m ACA + 12m ALMA + 12m TP data
 - Evaluate against standard procedure (joint ACA+ALMA followed by feathering of TP data) and the 'tp2vis' approach.
- Integration into CASA for production release

G55.7+3.4 Supernova Remnant + Pulsar

7 hour synthesis, L-Band, 8 spws x 64 chans x 2 MHz, 1sec integrations (used 4 spws)





Max sampled spatial scale : 19 arcmin (L-band, D-config) Angular size of G55.7+3.4 : 24 arcmin Primary beam at 1.5 GHZ : 30 arcmin

Clear example of wideband short-spacing problem (i.e. only for nterms=2)

Needs wide-field wide-band Primary Beam handling too

In 2016, we obtained GBT VEGAS wideband data.





NRAO Wednesday Lunch Talk

CTB80 wideband mosaic : L-Band EVLA , GBT

J2000 Declination



Joint mosaic primary beam from 106 VLA pointings

imctb80.try6.weight.tt0-raster 36' 24' 12' 33° 48' 36' 24' 12' 19^h59^m 57^m 51^m 55^m 56^m 54^m 53^m 52^m 50^m J2000 Right Ascension



(INT only)





Interferometer + Single dish

(Only Intensity, Feathering)

