Sky-domain algorithms to reconstruct spatial, spectral and time-variable structure of the sky-brightness distribution



#### Urvashi Rau

National Radio Astronomy Observatory Socorro, NM, USA

Outline :

- Overview of image reconstruction advances in the past decade.

- Image-reconstruction as a numerical optimization problem.

- Multi-term methods for sky-domain reconstruction

- Need for data-domain methods to correct for instrumental effects

- EVLA Imaging examples



Image from F.Owen, NRAO Intensity-weighted Spectral-index of Abell2256 (EVLA-LBand)

National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

# 'Standard' Aperture Synthesis + Imaging + Deconvolution



NRAC

 $V_{ii}^{obs}(v,t) = M_{ii}(v,t) S_{ii}(v,t) \int I^{sky}(l,m) e^{2\pi i (ul+vm)} dl dm$ 

- Generate visibilities by binning correlations in time and frequency (Earth-rotation and multi-frequency synthesis)

Choose dt, dv such that du,  $dv < \frac{1}{FOV}$ 

- Imaging / Gridding + FT
  - Convolve :  $V_{ij} \delta(u-u_{ij}) * G(u)$  Resample on a regular grid (du, dv)

  - Inverse FFT
  - Divide by the inverse FT of G(u)
- Deconvolution / Model-fitting
  - Fit a sky-model via iterative  $\chi^2$  minimization

$$I^{obs}(l,m) = I^{sky}(l,m) * \int S(u,v) e^{-2\pi i (ul+vm)}$$

#### **Deconvolution algorithms**

National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

Projection

Algorithms

## Assumptions vs Reality

Assumptions:  $V_{ij}^{obs}(v,t) = M_{ij}(v,t) S_{ij}(v,t) \int I(l,m) e^{2\pi i (ul+vm)} dl dm$ 

- sky brightness distribution is constant w.r.to frequency (and time)
- calibration of time and freq-dependent instrumental effects at the phase center

Ok for imaging dynamic-range < few 1000, narrow bandwidths and small fields-of-view.

PB effects Sky brightness W-Term  
Reality : 
$$V_{ij}^{obs}(v,t) = M_{ij}(v,t) S_{ij}(v,t) \int M_{ij}^{s}(l,m,v,t) I(l,m,v) e^{2\pi i (ul+vm+w(n-1))} dl dm dn$$

- Increased receiver sensitivity (lower Tsys, larger bandwidth, larger collecting-area)

- => Artifacts that were earlier below the noise, are visible in the image.
  - direction, frequency and time-dependence of the sky and instrument.
- Desire to image wide fields-of-view
  - Pressure on telescope time => wider fields-of-view from a single observation
  - Increase image fidelity for image-domain mosaics/facets.



# Imaging and Deconvolution Algorithms

| Deconvolution algorithms  | Wide-field Imaging Algorithms   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Clean Hogbom 1974, Clark 1980, Schwab & Cotton 1983<br>Schwarz, 1978  | Post-deconvolution PB-correction  |  |  |
| Maximum-Entropy CleanCornwell & Evans 1985<br>Narayan & Nityananda 1986   | Multi-facet imaging<br>(independent and joint deconvolution)  |  |  |
| Multi-Frequency-Clean Conway et al 1991,<br>Sault & Wieringa 1994   | Mosaicing (independent deconvolution)   |  |  |
| Adaptive-Scale Pixel Clean Bhathagar & Cornwell, 2004   | W-Projection Bhatnagar, Cornwell, Golap, 2004   |  |  |
| Multi-Scale, Multi-Frequency Clean<br>Ray & Cornwell, 2011  | A-Projection Bhatnagar, Cornwell, Golap, Uson, 2004<br>Projection-Mospicing Golap et al. ~ 2004 related to        |  |  |
| Other experimental ideas  | WideBand-A-Projection       Bhatnagar & Rau,<br>2012 (in prep)  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Multi-Frequency Time-variable Clean<br/>Stewart et al, 2011</li> <li>Ideas from compressed-sensing<br/>methods : minimize L1 instead of L2<br/>Wenger et al, 2010, Li &amp; Cornwell 2011, 2012</li> </ul> | Other ideas :<br>- Peeling LOFAR group, ~ 2010<br>- Differential-gain calibration<br>Smirnov 2011, Yatawatta 2011 |  |  |

NRAO

National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

## **Basic Imaging and Deconvolution**

Image Reconstruction : Iteratively fit a sky-model to the observed visibilities.

Measurement Equation :  $[A]I^m = V^{obs}$ 

- The operator [A] = [S][F] includes the UV-coverage and FT - The vector  $I^m$  is the sky model (e.g. image-pixels, Gaussian set)

Fit the parameters of  $I^m$  via a weighted least-squares optimization :

- Minimize 
$$\chi^2 = [V^{obs} - AI^m]^T W [V^{obs} - AI^m] = \frac{\delta \chi^2}{\delta I^m} = 0$$

Normal Equations :  $[A^T W A]I^m = [A^T W]V^{obs}$ 

- This describes an image-domain convolution  $I^{psf} * I^m = I^{dirty}$ 

2 July 2012

n

Iterative Solution : 
$$I_{i+1}^{m} = I_{i}^{m} + g[A^{T}WA]^{+} (A^{T}W(V^{obs} - AI_{i}^{m}))$$
Deconvolution
$$I_{maging}_{(Gridding + iFT)}$$
Prediction
(FT + de-Gridding)



## **Basic Imaging and Deconvolution**

Image Reconstruction : Iteratively fit a sky-model to the observed visibilities.



Normal Equations :  $[A^T W A]I^m = [A^T W]V^{obs}$ 

- This describes an image-domain convolution  $I^{psf} * I^m = I^{dirty}$ 

Iterative Solution : 
$$I_{i+1}^{m} = I_{i}^{m} + g[A^{T}WA]^{+} (A^{T}W(V^{obs} - AI_{i}^{m}))$$
Deconvolution
$$I_{maging}_{(Gridding + iFT)}$$
Prediction
(FT + de-Gridding)



National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

## **Basic Imaging and Deconvolution**



NRAC

### Extended emission : Standard CLEAN



#### CLEAN

- Calculate dirty image
- Normalize by sum of weights
- Add location/amp of peak to model
- Update residuals

Delta-functions are an inefficient way of modeling extended emission.  $I^m = \sum_i a_i \delta(l - l_i)$ 

Pick basis functions that represent shapes in which most of the signal power is concentrated.

=> Use a basis in which the signal is ' sparse ' (described by a few parameters)

These concepts are gaining popularity as 'compressive-sampling' or 'compressed-sensing' methods in signal/image-processing + some new ideas on the optimization strategy (minimize L1 instead of L2).



#### Extended emission : Multi-Scale CLEAN

Multi-Scale Sky Model : Linear combination of 'blobs' of different scale sizes

$$I^{sky} = \sum_{s} \left[ I_{s}^{shp} * I_{s}^{m} \right] \text{ where } I_{s}^{shp} \text{ is a blob of size 's' and } I_{s}^{m} = \sum_{i} a_{s,i} \delta(l - l_{s,i})$$





National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

### Extended emission : Multi-Scale CLEAN

Imaging Equations : Multiple 'dirty' images, each a linear-combination of convolutions



Other multi-scale algorithms :

MS-Clean (Cornwell), MS-Clean (Greisen) are variants of the above. ASP-Clean (model sky as a set of Gaussians –solve for parameters)



# Imaging examples with extended emission





National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

# Wide-Band Imaging : Multi-Frequency CLEAN

Model the sky spectrum with polynomial coefficients :  $I_{\nu}^{sky} = \sum_{t} I_{t}^{m} \left( \frac{\nu - \nu_{0}}{\nu_{0}} \right)^{t}$  (sparse representation of a smooth spectrum) (sparse representation of a smooth spectrum)



**MF-CLEAN** (and variants)

- Construct dirty-images as weighted averages in frequency

- Calculate principal solution (3x3 matrix mult. per pixel)

- Pick Taylor-coefficient set that gives the biggest change in  $\chi^2$ 

- Subtract response from all residuals (evaluate LHS)

National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

NRA

Sky Model : Collection of 'blobs' whose amplitudes follow a polynomial in frequency.

Multi-Frequency : 
$$I_{\nu}^{sky} = \sum_{t} I_{t} \left( \frac{\nu - \nu_{0}}{\nu_{0}} \right)^{t}$$

Multi-Scale : 
$$I_t = \sum_s [I_s^{shp} * I_{s,t}]$$

| $\begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=0} \\ t=0,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=0} \\ t=0,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=0} \\ t=0,q=2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=1} \\ t=0,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=1} \\ t=0,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=1} \\ t=0,q=2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $ar{I}^{sky}_{\substack{p=0\q=0}}$         | $\vec{I}_{s=0}^{dirty}_{t=0}$ |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| $\begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=0} \\ t=1,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=0} \\ t=1,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=0} \\ t=1,q=2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=1} \\ t=1,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=1} \\ t=1,q=2 \end{bmatrix}$  | $\bar{I}^{sky}_{\substack{p=0\\q=1}}$      | $\vec{I}_{s=0}^{dirty}{t=1}$  |
| $\begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=0} \\ t=2,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=0} \\ t=2,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=0} \\ t=2,q=2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=1} \\ t=2,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=1} \\ t=2,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=0,p=1} \\ t=2,q=2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\overline{I}_{p=0}^{sky}_{q=2}$           | $\vec{I}_{s=0}^{dirty}$       |
| $\begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=0} \\ t=0,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=0} \\ t=0,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=0} \\ t=0,q=2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=1} \\ t=0,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=1} \\ t=0,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=1} \\ t=0,q=2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\bar{I}_{\substack{p=1\\q=0}}^{sky}$      | $= I_{s=1}^{dirty}$           |
| $\begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=0} \\ t=1,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=0} \\ t=1,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=0} \\ t=1,q=2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=1} \\ t=1,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=1} \\ t=1,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=1} \\ t=1,q=2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\bar{I}_{\substack{p=1\\q=1}}^{sky}$      | $\vec{I}_{s=1}^{dirty}_{t=1}$ |
| $\begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=0} \\ t=2,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=0} \\ t=2,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=0} \\ t=2,q=2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=1} \\ t=2,q=0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=1} \\ t=2,q=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{s=1,p=1} \\ t=2,q=2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\overline{I}_{\substack{p=1\\q=2}}^{sky}$ | $I_{s=1}^{dirty}$             |

#### **MS-MFS**

- Construct dirty-images as weighted averages in frequency + smooth to different scales.
- Block-diagonal approximation for scales, principal solution for Taylor-coefficients
- Pick components and add to models.
- Update all residual images

Data products are Taylor-coefficient-images (combined across scales) :  $I_{0,}^{m}I_{1,}^{m}I_{2,}^{m}...$ 



## Example of wideband-imaging on extended-emission



#### => For extended emission.....



...... a multi-scale model gives better spectral index and curvature maps

National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

# Extended emission – SNR example (a realistic expectation)



Wide-band Galactic-Plane SNR survey (pilot) [MS-MFS with nterms=2, and about 5 scales]

=> Within L-band and C-band, can tell-apart regions by their spectral-index (+/- 0.2) if SNR>100.



=> These images have a dynamic-range limit of few x 1000

#### Dynamic-range with MS-MFS : 3C286 example : Nt=1,2,3,4



## Other methods based on linear-combinations

Multi-Frequency-Synthesis <==> Earth-rotation synthesis

- Source structure that varies smoothly with time :

Taylor-polynomial in time :  $I_t^{sky} = \sum_i I_i^m \left(\frac{t - t_{ref}}{t_{ref}}\right)^t$ 

- Frequency-dependence of Stokes Q,U,V.



- Source structure that varies with (erratically) with time and (smoothly) with frequency

=> need different basis functions : Fourier sinusoids and Chebyshev polynomials Stewart et al, 2011, based on Sault-Wieringa 1994

- Can add in multi-scale support to both of the above ideas (same ideas as MS-MFS)
- Stokes parameters : I = RR+LL, V = RR-LL

=> Another set of linear equations : Can do a joint I and V deconvolution.



## Handling Instrumental effects in the sky-domain

#### **Multi-Frequency Primary Beams**



Spectral Index of PB

The sky model can absorb some time-invariant and realvalued instrumental effects

e.g. frequency-dependent PB gain for a non-squinted and non-rotating antennas.

MS-MFS Taylor-coefficients represent the product of the sky and PB spectra.

=> Divide out the PB-spectrum, post-deconvolution.

$$\frac{(I_{0,}^{m}I_{1,}^{m}I_{2,}^{m}...)}{(P_{0,}P_{1,}P_{2,}...)} = (I_{0,}^{sky}I_{1,}^{sky}I_{2}^{sky}...)$$



National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

# Continuum (MS-MFS) vs Cube Imaging (with PB-correction)



National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

NRAC







MS-MFS + **W-Projection** 30' 15' Declination Declination 45' J2000 30' 15' Max sampled spatial scale : 19 arcmin (L-band, D-config) Angular size of G55.7+3.4 : 24 arcmin 21°00' MS-Clean was able to reconstruct total-flux of 1.0 Jy MS-MFS large-scale spectral fit is unconstrained. 45'

 $22^{m}$ 

 $23^{m}$ 

21<sup>m</sup>

20<sup>m</sup>

18<sup>m</sup>

17<sup>m</sup>

19<sup>m</sup>

19<sup>h</sup>26<sup>m</sup>

24<sup>m</sup>



# Example of wide-field sensitivity, because of wide-bandwidths

G55.7+3.4 : Galactic supernova remnant : 4 x 4 degree field-of-view from one EVLA pointing





National Centre for Radio Astrophysics, TIFR, Pune, India

## Summary

Image reconstruction is done via an iterative least-squares minimization.

Sky domain : natural domain to parameterize the sky signal (non-closing). Sees only averages.

Minor Cycle : Construct a model from the 'dirty' image(s). Algorithms differ in choice of model parameters, objective function, optimization strategy.

 $\rightarrow$  Clean (hogbom, clark), mem, msclean, asp, mfclean, ms-mfs, ...)

Data domain : model instrumental effects and correct them ( 'calibration' )

Major Cycle : Model prediction (FT+de-gridding), Residual calculation, Imaging (gridding+IFT). Algorithms differ in the choice of gridding-convolution function (Sanjay's talk : July 9)

W-Projection, A-Projection, WB-A-Projection, Pointing Offsets and Mosaicing.

=> A combination of all these methods is required for HDR imaging. LDR imaging (few 1000) is possible through various approximate methods (as has always been the case).



==> Already getting EVLA proposals for low-freq wide-band mosaics with A-config