

How the CASA Imager currently uses the parallelization infrastructure

### Urvashi Rau

( on behalf of the CASA Imaging Team : S.Bhatnagar, K.Golap, U.Rau, T. Tsutsumi )

NRAO, Socorro

# Goal : Document and convey to the HPC group the top-level parallelization strategy of CASA Imager

(1) Imaging Basics

- major and minor cycles
- block level code design, inputs/outputs
- functional steps in making an image from visibilities
- (2) Main modes : Continuum and Cube
  - data to image mapping
  - data partitioning for parallelization
  - functional steps in a parallel imaging run (messages, scatter/gather)
- (3) Algorithmic options to support
  - gridding, deconvolution, widefield, stokes, spectral
  - relative computing and I/O costs, usage percentage, role of multithreading
- (4) Commissioning Tests
  - Continuum : wideband multi-scale multi-term joint mosaic with wb-awp
  - Cube : TBD

# Imaging Process – Iterative $\chi^2$ minimization



### **Functional Blocks**

Basic Functional Unit : 1 Image field, N Frequency planes, M Stokes planes



Image Store : Residual, PSF, Model, Weight, Restored, Mask



FTMachine : Gridding / de-Gridding + Convolution Functions



**Deconvolver Algorithm** : Iteratively reconstruct the sky model



Iteration Controller : Check stopping criterion between Major and Minor cycles + user-interaction

### **Application Layer**



Major Cycle :Read DATA or CORRECTED\_DATA from MS on disk(for each vb)Calculate MODEL\_DATA by de-gridding model image<br/>Calculate RESIDUAL=DATA - MODEL and accumulate on grid.

Only last Major Cycle writes MODEL\_DATA to MS (if requested) -- Save FT state as Record inside SOURCE subtable (otf model) (or) -- Write MODEL\_DATA column

### **Functional Steps – Basic Run**

SI . select\_Data ( Data and selection parameters )
SI . define\_Image ( Image Parameters , Gridding parameters )
SN . setup\_Normalizer ( Normalization Parameters )
SD . setup\_Deconvolution ( Algorithm parameters )
IC . setup\_IterationControl ( niter, threshold, gain... )

```
SI . make_PSF ( )
SN . normalize_PSF ( )
```

```
SI . run_Major_Cycle ( )
SN . normalize_Residual ( )
```

```
while ( not IC . has_Converged()):
    IC . interactive_Mask ()
    iter,peak = SD . run_Minor_Cycle ()
    IC . update ( iter, peak )
    SI . run_Major_Cycle ( )
    SN . normalize_Residual ( )
```

SD . restore ()

Old Code :

```
Functional layer in C++
=> All modules communicated by
casa::imageInterface references.
```

New Code :

Functional layer in Python => All modules communicate via image (names) on disk.

--> A design constraint, for serial and parallel runs to use the same code, since at the time of design, parallelization was forced to be in python and not C++. But, can move this layer down into C++ when we can use MPI from there. 6

### Main Imaging modes : Continuum and Cube

### Mapping of Data to Image (shapes)

#### N DATA CHANNELS



Partitioning for parallelization

### Continuum :

Data partitioning can be along any data axis. e.g. row\_id ( Preferences can come from algorithmic details. )

### All data goes to ONE grid.

#### N DATA CHANNELS



#### Cube :

Data **and** Image partitioning along Frequency

# Each data chunk goes to its own subImage.

(Only slight overlap in data chunks due to software doppler tracking (otf cvel).)7

# **Continuum Imaging : Serial to Parallel**





Messages are only parameters and image names.

### **Functional Steps : Continuum Data Parallelization**

```
continuum_Data_Partition ():
```

In : Selection Params , N\_Processes, Out : List of N selection parameters

```
For all processes : SI [proc] . select_Data(), SI [proc] . define_Image ()
SN . setup_Normalizer ()
SD . setup_Deconvolution ()
IC . setup_IterationControl ()
```

```
For all processes : SI [proc] . make_PSF ()
SN . gather normalize PSF ()
```

```
For all processes : SI [proc] . run_Major_Cycle ()
SN . gather_normalize_Residual ()
```

```
while ( not IC . has_Converged()):
    IC . interactive_Mask ()
    iter,peak = SD . run_Minor_Cycle ()
    IC . update ( iter, peak )
    SN . scatter_Model ( )
    For all processes : SI [proc] . run_Major_Cycle ( )
    SN . gather_normalize_Residual ( )
```

### Cube Imaging : Serial to Parallel - 1



Mapping of Data Channels to Image Channels => Partitioning along FREQ ( with slight overlap )

### **Functional Steps – Cube Parallelization - 1**

cube\_Data\_Image\_Partition ():

In : Selection Params , Image Cube Parameters, N\_Processes

Out : List of N selection parameters, list of N image cube parameters (csys)

For all processes :

SI [proc] . select\_Data( selection parameters for [proc] )
SI [proc] . define\_Image ( image cube definition for [proc] )
SN [proc] . setup\_Normalizer ( )
SD [proc] . setup\_Deconvolution ( )
IC [proc] . setup\_IterationControl ( )

Run Basic Iteration Loops Separately per [proc]

**Concatenate** all final output sub-Image Cubes into one **large Cube**.

Problems :

-- Last step involves a full copy, and can be slow.

- Exploring option of reference concatenation (KG).

-- Iteration control is separate per chunk => not in sync, for major-cycle triggers

-- No user interaction at runtime, or operate separate viewer/mask per chunk.

### **Cube Imaging : Serial to Parallel - 2**





### **Functional Steps : Cube Parallelization - 2**

```
cube_Data_Image_Partition ():
```

In : Selection Params , Image Cube Parameters, N\_Processes

Out : List of N selection parameters, list of N image cube parameters (csys)

```
For all procs : SI [proc] . select_Data(), SI [proc] . define_Image ()
SN [proc] . setup_Normalizer ()
SD [proc] . setup_Deconvolution ()
IC . setup IterationControl ()
```

For all procs : SI [proc] . make\_PSF ( ); SN [proc] . normalize\_PSF ( ) SI [proc] . run\_Major\_Cycle ( ); SN [proc] . normalize\_Residual ( )

```
while ( not IC . has_Converged()):
    IC . interactive_Mask ( concatenated large cube )
    For all procs :
        iter[p],peak[p] = SD [proc] . run_Minor_Cycle ( )
        IC . update ( iter[p], peak[p] )
```

For all procs : SI [proc] . run\_Major\_Cycle (); SN [proc] . normalize\_Residual ()

For all procs : SD [proc] . Restore () Concatenate large cube

### Many More Imaging Options...

- Gridding Convolution Functions (Standard, W-Proj, A-Proj, ...)
- Deconvolution Algorithms (Clark/Hogborn Clean, MS-Clean, ASP, MEM)
- Cube Imaging (vs) Multi-Frequency Synthesis (Nterms = 1 or MTMFS)
- Stokes Parameters (I, Q, U, V, IV, QU,..., RR...., XX,...)
- Multiple Fields, Multiple Facets, Stitched / Joint Mosaics

#### => Almost all possible combinations of the above are valid.

User Interaction :

Create and edit masks during the Minor Cycle (including Auto- and PB- masks)
 Ability to monitor progress and change iteration control parameters at run-time

### **Gridding (Imaging) Options**



Gridding Convolution Function (GCF)

- Several GCF options (algorithms)

Size range : 3x3 to > 100x100 pixels

Range in computing cost spans few orders of magnitude, following number of operations per visibility point.

Memory cost also varies.

Standard Imaging : Prolate Spheroidal



W-Projection : FT of a Fresnel kernel



#### A-Projection :

Convolutions of Aperture Illumination Funcs + phase gradients for joint mosaics





#### Combined algorithms : Convolutions of different kernels

Kernels can be different per visibility point, with varying degrees of approximation

# Minor Cycle (Deconvolution) Algorithms

#### For Point Sources :

- Hogbom Clean
- Clark Clean

(simplest, fastest...)

For Point/Extended Sources :

- Maximum-Entropy Method\*
- Adaptive-Scale Pixel Clean\*
- Multi-Scale-Clean
- (medium computing cost)
- For Wide-band Images
- Multi-Frequency-Clean (with or without Multi-Scale)

(max computing cost, so far)

Convolution Equation ==> Deconvolution





(Multi-Term Algorithms can be memory-intensive)

### **Multiple Fields**



- Work with N smaller sized images ( deconvolve N images separately )
- A few outlier sources that must be reconstructed to prevent artifacts from contaminating the main field.

(Usually one large image and several tiny ones)

17

NOTE : To support this consistently, our code contains LISTS of modules in C++ and Python, with the simplest case being a list of length 1. Major cycle has lists in C++ since all fields share data, and minor cycles have lists at Python level (as they are independent)

### **Multiple Facets**



- Wide-field imaging where array non-coplanarity and sky curvature produce artifacts away from the phase-center.
  - Work with smaller field-of-view images,
  - Deconvolve N facets separately ( OR ) as 1 single large image.

An (older) alternative to (or addition to) w-projection. Not very commonly used in casa

### **Mosaics – Grid pointings separately**



- Deconvolve N images separately, combine restored images : 'stitched mosaic'
   OR
- Grid pointings separately, combine before deconvolution : 'image domain joint mosaic'
   [Use PB model as weights during combination, w/wo PB-cor]

Could parallelize (data and image) on pointings/fields at top level (via tool level)

### **Mosaics – Grid pointings together**



- Grid all pointings onto a single UV-grid, using GCFs with appropriate phase gradients.
   Do a joint deconvolution
- Gridding math is very similar to "facet " and " multi-field " imaging but using separate data.

Uses standard continuum or cube parallelization . Uses large gridding convolution fns (A-projection and its approximate forms)

# **Cube Imaging (Spectral Line)**

#### N DATA CHANNELS



- N data channels are binned into M image channels.
- Image channels are always in LSRK reference frame.
- Conversion to 'velocity', etc is only axis re-labeling (not regridding)

#### Data and Image parallelization

### **Continuum Imaging (MFS)**

#### **N DATA CHANNELS**



- Make use of combined UV-coverage from all channels together
- Make use of broad-band sensitivity during image reconstruction
- Deconvolve 1 image

#### Data Parallelization

### Continuum Imaging (MT-MFS nterms>1)

#### N DATA CHANNELS

NT Taylor-Weighted Averages



- Combined UV-coverage and broad-band sensitivity
- Solve for sky spectrum as well as intensity.
- Joint multi-term deconvolution of all Taylor coefficients

Data parallelization. Expensive minor cycle.

Multi-Term Convolution Equation



### **Correlations / Stokes**

**4** CORRELATIONS



X/Y => I, Q, U, V, IQ, UV, IQUV, XX, YY, XY, YX, XXYY, XYYX, 'all'

(when possible, use data even if some correlations are flagged)

### **Other Ongoing Performance Improvements**

- Multi-threading of gridders (KG)
- Multi-threading of some minor-cycle algorithms (KG,UR)
- Improving efficiency of FFT usage/implementation (KG)
- Smarter W-Projection plane selection (KG)
- Efficient use of Convolution function caches (SB)
- On-the-fly GPU calculations of convolution functions (SB)
- Virtual model column (trade I/O for computing) (KG)
- Efficient cube concatenation (reference/virtual) (KG)

[All of the above apply to both old and new Imagers]

### **Recent Commissioning Tests - Continuum**

Wideband multi-scale multi-term joint mosaic with wideband awprojection.

=> 106 pointing mosaic : 300 GB
 => Extended emission spanning multiple primary beams

 => Joint mosaic and multi-scale
 => Wideband 1-2 GHz EVLA data
 => Multi-term imaging to model the intensity and spectrum
 => WB-A-Projection to handle frequency dependent primary beam

 => Bright compact sources on top of diffuse emission : HDR

 => A-Projection with rotating and squint-correcting kernels

=> Minor Cycle is memory and compute intensive => Major Cycle is I/O and compute intensive

Results : Obtained expected speedup and scaling for major cycle.

(Worked through software issues : MS and image locks, parallel writes on single MS, running on MMS, ability to restart / recover tclean with minimal overhead, etc...)

### **Recent Commissioning Tests - Continuum**



50<sup>m</sup>