



## X-ray synchrotron radiation and particle acceleration

Martin Hardcastle University of Bristol, UK with Diana Worrall & Mark Birkinshaw (Bristol), Dan Harris (CfA), Ralph Kraft et al (CfA), Robert Laing et al (Oxford)

## Outline

- X-ray synchrotron radiation as probe of particle acceleration
- FRI (low-power) jets
  - Problems and successes of a synchrotron model
  - Cen A and localization of particle acceleration sites: relation to dynamics
- FRII hotspots

#### Introduction

- Important to locate sites of jet dissipation, i.e. where jet bulk kinetic energy is transferred into random energy of particles
- X-ray synchrotron emission probes this uniquely well
- Loss timescale in typical magnetic and photon fields is ~ tens of years
- For v < c emitting electrons can travel only a few pc from the site of energization.

## FRI X-ray jets (6/15)



RA (J2000)

## Synchrotron emission?

- 1. Radio and optical is certainly synchrotron
- Radio / optical / Xray join up (reasonably well)
- 3. Steep overall X-ray spectra
- 4. Inverse-Compton impossible (from 3, plus B would have to be  $<< B_{eq}$ ).



#### Association with deceleration

- X-ray and optical jets only in the inner few kpc (almost no exceptions – NGC6251?)
- 3C31 jet exactly in the place where strong dissipation should be taking place (Laing & Bridle)
- Short lifetimes imply in situ particle acceleration
- Energetics work (easily).

#### Problems of a synchrotron model



3C66B, a 'typical' z ~ 0.02 (D ~100 Mpc) jet

- Diffuse X-ray emission (acceleration mechanism?)
- Point-to-point radio/X-ray spectral differences
- Offsets in peaks
- Conventional synchrotron spectra don't fit.

#### Centaurus A

- Clearly there are things going on in these sources that do not fit a simple picture
- In general distance means that we are averaging over many loss scales; there *must* be substructure we are missing
- Chandra's resolution probes the loss scale of ~ few pc in only one source: Cen A (D = 3.4 Mpc; 1 arcsec = 17 pc).
- Observed with Chandra & VLA.

# Cen A monitoring 91

## Cen A monitoring 02

# Cen A monitoring 03

## Jet proper motion





## Cen A radio/X-ray

Arrows indicate compact X-ray features with weak (but now detected) radio counterparts and flat radio-X-ray spectra

## Radio/X-ray

- Most X-ray knots now have detected, coincident radio counterparts
- Some diffuse X-ray emission not resolved into knots, and the radio/X-ray relation is complex; clear edge-brightening.
- Knots and diffuse emission spectrally distinct.
- Strong X-ray knots are all associated with stationary radio features.

## Shocks

- Knot spectra imply they are not simply compressions in the flow, but privileged sites for particle acceleration
- Plausibly shocks
- Base knots can be a standing reconfinement shock, but
- Stationary knots further up the jet seem to imply that the jet fluid is running into something (most likely clumps of cold gas).

#### Particle acceleration

- Some may be at shocks perhaps averaging over shocks & downstream loss regions can account for both offsets and spectral peculiarities.
- Diffuse, edge-brightened regions harder to explain in these terms – population of unresolved knots, or different process?

## Shocks in FRIIs?

- Hotspots in FRIIs conventionally taken to be the sites of jet termination shocks.
- Optical emission shows that hotspots can accelerate to high energies (though mechanism not clear for extended optical regions)
- What about X-ray emission?

## X-ray hotspots

- Early X-ray hotspot observations mostly of sources where synchrotron cuts off before optical: inverse Compton (SSC) with B close to B<sub>eq</sub>.
- But we run into problems when looking at weaker hotspots with less well constrained spectra.
- Some well-known sources that don't work with SSC models (Pic A, 3C390.3).
- Recently even more extreme sources discovered...



## **Extreme X-ray hotspots**



Either we are wrong about inverse-Compton emission or we have synchrotron emission as well/instead in some sources.

## 3C sources with hotspots



- Search for 3C FRII sources in Chandra archive
- Examine ratio R of observation to IC prediction

# R and hotspot power



#### R and 1000 hotspot power 100 СĽ Not as good a 10 correlation as it looks!





#### Hotspot conclusions

- Many low-power hotspots have much more Xray emission than would be expected on SSC model.
- Dominant influence appears to be radio power. Explicable in terms of synchrotron model – greatly increased high-energy losses. Hard to explain on IC model.
- Effect of beaming is secondary, but probably still there. Again explicable in synchrotron model.

## Summary

- In low-power jets X-ray synchrotron probes particle acceleration associated with bulk jet deceleration.
- In Cen A at least some of the particle acceleration is localized and can be related to small-scale jet dynamics.
- In FRII hotspots synchrotron X-ray may be the best way to understand the bright X-ray emission seen in many hotspots; acceleration mechanism is far from clear as yet.