Probing Colliding Wind Binaries with High-Resolution X-ray Spectra

David Henley



Collaborators:

Ian Stevens Julian Pittard Mike Corcoran Andy Pollock University of Birmingham University of Leeds GSFC XMM-SOC@ESA-Vilspa

Importance of high-resolution X-ray spectra

- Chandra & XMM-Newton gratings can resolve line shifts/widths down to a few hundred km s⁻¹
 - Probe dynamics of wind-wind collision
- Forbidden-intercombination-resonance (*f-i-r*) triplets from He-like ions
 - Diagnostics of electron density, UV radiation field & temperature
- New insights into location, geometry, structure and dynamics of wind-wind collision

Chandra observation of γ² Velorum (WC8+O7.5)



Observation length: 65 ks

γ^2 Velorum (2) – Line fitting procedure



- Select narrow wavelength range around line of interest
- Fit to line using Gaussian line profile(s)
- Measure line centroid shifts and line widths

γ^2 Velorum (3) – Line fitting results



- Lines generally unshifted
- Mean FWHM = 1200 km s⁻¹
- No correlation with ionization potential or wavelength

γ² Velorum (4) – Geometrical model for line profiles

- Assume X-ray emission region is a conical surface
- Line centroid shift:

 $v = -v_0 \cos \beta \cos \gamma$

• Line width:

FWHM = $v_0 \sin \beta \sin \gamma$

- γ well-known from orbit
- Find that $\beta > 85^{\circ}$
- Evidence of sudden radiative braking?



γ² Velorum (5) – sudden radiative braking (Owocki & Gayley 1995; Gayley et al. 1997)

- Wind of WR star rapidly decelerated as it encounters O star radiation field
- Increases shock opening angle
- Alters Mach number of wind-wind collision
- As well as affecting X-ray emission, may also affect nonthermal radio emission
 - Spectral index depends on electron energy distribution, which depends on shock compression ratio
 - Variability of nonthermal radio flux depends on shock opening angle (absorption effects)



David Henley, University of Birmingham

γ^2 Velorum (6) – ATCA radio observations



- . Modelled using thermal + nonthermal emission
- Data taken at different orbital phases
- Optical depth for nonthermal emission varies throughout orbit (depends on shock opening angle)
- Better orbital coverage (Dougherty et al.) shows no evidence of nonthermal emission

X-ray & Radio Connections, Santa Fe, February 2004



- . Correlation between line widths and ionization potential
- Disagrees with predictions of numerical model
- Evidence of non-equilibirum ionization? (Higher-excitation ions originate in faster-moving gas further from line of centres)

WR 140 (2) Spherical or disk-like winds?

- X-ray emission modelled assuming spherical winds
- White & Becker (1995) unable to explain radio light curve using spherical winds
 - They suggest that the WR star's wind is disk-like
- Model of X-ray emission lines disagrees with Chandra observation
 - Maybe X-ray emission lines can be explained by a disk-like WR wind
- Radio emission need to consider thermal + nonthermal emission
 - Maybe radio emission can be explained by spherical winds
- More detailed modelling of X-ray & radio required
- More spectral information from radio would also be useful

Conclusions

- High-resolution X-ray spectra probe structure & dynamics of wind-wind collision
- Provides information on shock geometry
- Shock opening angle influences variability of nonthermal radio emission

Final comment:

- Line emission generally considered to be thermal, but ions may also be excited by collisions with nonthermal electrons
- If relative abundance of nonthermal electrons is large, they will have to be included in the models

Modelling X-ray line profiles from CWBs (Henley, Stevens & Pittard 2003)



- Line profile calculations based on hydro simulations
- Each grid cell produces Gaussian line profile
- Width of Gaussian depends on temperature
- Height of Gaussian depends on temperature, density and optical depth
- Sum over whole grid to get the observed profile

Orbital variability of X-ray line profiles



γ^2 Velorum (WC8+O7.5) – Basic parameters

• Distance = 258 pc (*Hipparcos*)

[Evidence that it may be further away: 400 pc (Pozzo et al. 2000)]

• Period = 78.53 days, *e* = 0.326, *i* = 63⁰

(Schmutz et al. 1997, de Marco & Schmutz 1999)

- $L_{\rm X} = 1.1 \times 10^{32} \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}$ (absorbed)
- $L_{\rm X} = 16 \times 10^{32} \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}$ (intrinsic)

David Henley, University of Birmingham

X-ray & Radio Connections, Santa Fe, February 2004

γ^2 Velorum – ASCA data (Stevens et al. 1996, Rauw et al. 2000)



γ^2 Velorum – Line profile modelling



WR 140 VLA observations (White & Becker 1995)







- Comparing model line profiles to set of *Chandra* grating observations
- Line shapes & variations provide important probe of shock dynamics
- Offers another tool for constraining parameters of this mysterious star