Wide-Field Imaging: I



Thirteenth Synthesis Imaging Workshop 2012, May 29-June 5

S. Bhatnagar NRAO, Socorro





Followup issues: Deconvolution

- "Clean components" are nothing *fundamental*. Just a compact representation of the Model Image (CASA holds an Image – not CC)
- What exactly is "loop gain"? •

• $I_{i+1}^{M} = I_{i}^{M} + \alpha \frac{\checkmark \partial \chi^{2}}{\partial (Parameters)}$... just the step-size used in any iterative minimization

New Mexico Tec

- Elements of the Hessian matrix often the sum of its diagonal elements. In practice, often a constant < 1.0
- Schwarz('78): Deconvolution is iterative constrained x^2 minimization
 - Clean constraints: finite support; MEM constraints: Smoothness
 - Both use the <u>same</u> basis-set (Pixel basis <u>bad for modeling complex</u> • emission) True
- Clean is a POCS algorithm! (CornewII, AIPS++ Note #184)
- Imaging performance of deconvolution algorithms...



Followup: Residuals for Clean, MEM, MS, Asp



Theory re-cap: Measurement Eq.

$$V(u,v;v,t) = \int I(l,m) e^{\iota[ul+vm]} dl dm$$

- van-Cittert Zernike Theorem: Coherence function is a 2D Fourier Transform of the Sky Brightness distribution
- Imaging $I(l,m) = \int V(u,v;v,t) e^{-\iota[ul+vm]} du dv$
- (u,v) are implicitly a function of time (HA) and frequency

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \frac{v}{c} \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & f(HA, \delta) & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}$$

 Standard continuum imaging corresponds to vector average of calibrated data over time and frequency (with the appropriate kernel). However...



Theory: Measurement Eq.

• Full ME for <u>calibrated</u> data:



- Visibility *explicitly* depends on time and frequency
- Imaging without correcting for time- and frequencydependence of J_{ii}^{s} locks-in the errors after averaging
- The kernel in general is *not* even a Fourier transform kernel!

$$s = (l, m, n) = (l, m, \sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2} - 1)$$
: Direction cosins



Why wide-field imaging

 Due to higher sensitivity, modern telescopes are sensitivity to emission farther out → need to image wider field of view (FoV) for noise-limited imaging

With EVLA @L-Band, VLA sensitive achieved at the location of VLA-PB null!

• What do we mean by wide-field imaging

When the ME has significant terms that make it increasingly deviate from the Standard ME <u>with distance from the</u> <u>pointing/phase center.</u>

- The errors due to these terms scale with distance from the phase/pointing center.
- Time and frequency dependence of the PB, Sky, and effects of 3D geometry are <u>not accounted for in Standard ME kernel</u>



$$e^{\iota [u l + v m + w(\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2} - 1)]}$$



Example: JVLA Imaging @ L-Band





Wide-field imaging: W-term

- Wide-field: Deviation from 2D geometry increase with FoV and baseline length.
- W-Term: 2D Fourier transform approximation breaks
 down

 $e^{\iota \left[u \, l + v \, m + w \left(\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2 - 1} \right) \right]} \neq 2D \ FT \ Kernel$







Wide-field imaging: Primary Beam

• Full-beam imaging: Antenna Primary Beam (PB) effects cannot be ignored

PB(v, t): Scales with frequency and changes with time





13th Synthesis Imaging Workshop, May29-June 5, 2012: S. Bhatnagar

New Mexico Tec

Wide-field imaging: Mosaicking & Pointing error

- Mosaicking: Imaging fields with emission larger than the antenna Field-of-View (FoV):
- For most cases, a straight forward extension of full-beam singlepointing imaging (linear addition of single pointing case)
- However, pay attention to the next lecture
- The other important PB effect, not included in this lecture is corrections for time-varying antenna pointing errors
 - Limits mosaic imaging performance
 - Solutions:
 - Reference pointing (for single pointing)
 - Pointing SelfCal: New possibility, but not yet fully tested
 - Talk to me later if you are interested...





The W-Term

• 2D approximation of the measurement equation (ME) breaks down ("The W-term problem").



 Imaging dynamic range throughout the image is limited by deconvolution errors due to the sources away from the (<u>phase</u>) center.





Primary Beam Effects

• Antenna Primary Beam (PB) pattern cannot be approximated by unity ("Full Beam Imaging").



• Imaging dynamic range throughout the image is limited by the deconvolution errors due to the sources in the half-power points and the side lobes.





Mosaicking (see later lectures)

- Imaging emission wider than the Field-of-View (FoV) ("Mosaicking")
- Dominant sources of errors
 - Antenna Pointing
 - PB effects: rotation, multiple types of antenna in the array (ALMA)
 - Deconvolution errors for extended emission







13th Synthesis Imaging Workshop, May29-June 5, 2012: S. Bhatnagar

The W-Term: Theory

Assuming J^s_{ii}=1 (ignore effects of PB)

$$V_{ij}^{Obs}(v) = \int I(s, v) e^{\iota \left[u_{ij}l + v_{ij}m + w_{ij}\left(\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2} \cdot 1\right)\right]} ds$$

• FoV is small:

Array is co-planar

$$l^{2} + m^{2} \ll 1$$
$$w \ll \left(\sqrt{u_{max}^{2} + v_{max}^{2}}\right)$$

• vCZ: 2D Fourier transform works

• $\frac{\lambda_{max} B_{max}}{[N_{lobes} D]^2} < 1$ $D \equiv Antenna \ diameter ; B_{max} = Max. \ baseline$

- When FoV **or** w_{ij} is "large", data and the image are not related by a simple 2D Fourier transform relationship.



Ref: Chapter 19



The W-Term: Geometric interpretation



- Phase of the visibilities for direction heta
 - For the interferometer in a plane: $\phi = 2 \pi u l$
 - For the interferometer not in a plane: $\phi = 2\pi |ul + w(n-1)|$
- 2D approximation valid only when: (1) w is small compared to u, or (2) $\theta\!\approx\!0$





The W-Term: Optics interpretation

• We need to measure...

$$V_{12}^{o} = \langle E_{1}^{'}(u, v, w \neq 0) E_{2}^{*}(0, 0, 0) \rangle$$

• We measure...

$$V_{12} = \langle E_1(u, v, w=0) E_2^*(0,0,0) \rangle$$

• E_1 is E'_1 propagated using Freshel diffraction theory $V_{12}^o = V_{12}(u, v, w=0) * G(u, v, w)$, where $G(u, v, w) = Freshel Propagater = FT \left[e^{2\pi \iota w \sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}} \right]$ $V_{12}^o = \int I(l, m) e^{2\pi \iota \left[u_{12} l + v_{12} m \right]} e^{2\pi \iota w_{12} \sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2} - 1} dl dm$



- A single interferometer is sensitive to *multiple* Fourier component
- Concept of redundant baselines is more restrictive than is usually thought!



Ref: IEEE Special topics in SP, Vol. 2, No5, 2008



Example: No W-Term Correction



- W-term is a phase error
- Sources move in the image in a systematic way

• Hermitian but a "dispersive" effect

New Mexico Tec



Example: After W-Term Correction





New Mexico Tech Science - exonacerano - restancio - university Science - exonacerano - restancio - university

Solutions: 3D Imaging

- Do a 3D inversion of the ME to make a 3D "Image" $F(l, m, n) = \int V(u, v, w) e^{i \left[u_{ij} l + v_{ij} m + w_{ij} n \right]} du dv dw$
- Relate F(I,m,n) to the physical image as

$$I(l,m) = \frac{F(l,m,n)}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} \,\delta(l^2 + m^2 + n^2 - 1)$$

- Interpretation
 - Physical emission I(I,m) exists along the surface of a unit sphere inside the 3D-Image F(I,m,n)
- Resulting algorithm is not efficient
- Not used very often (read "never used" :-))





Solutions: Faceted Imaging

- Interpret *I(I,m)* as emission on the surface of the Celestial Sphere of unit radius: *I*²+*m*²+*n*²=1
 - Approximate the celestial sphere by a set of tangent planes a.k.a. "facets" – such that 2D geometry is valid per facet
 - Use 2D imaging on each facet
 - Re-project and stitch the facet-images to a single 2D plane





Solutions: UV plane equivalent

• Since the facet-images are related to the single-plane image by a linear co-ordinate transformation, there must exist a equivalent operation in the visibility plane.

$$I(Cl) \rightarrow |det(C)|^{-1}V(C^{-1^{T}}u)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\textit{C}}$ is the image domain co-ordinate transform

I and u are the image and visibility plane co-ordinates respectively

- Projection error: $\epsilon = \sin(\theta_1)(1 \cos(\theta_2)) \approx \frac{1}{2}\theta_1\theta_2^2$
 - Error same as in image plane faceting!
 - Produces a single image (no edge effects)
 - Global deconvolution possible (extended emission)
 - Use of advanced algorithms for extended emission possible
 - Region definition as in the usual case



Available in CASA and possibly in AIPS





A small digression: Image deconvolution theory

• Function optimization view of deconvolution

 $V^{o} = A I^{o} + N$ N is Gaussian random (in the data domain) $V^{M} = A I^{M}$

• χ^2 is the optimal estimator. Deconvolution is then equivalent to

minimize: $\chi^2 = |V^o - AI^M|^2$ where $I^M = \sum_k P_k$; P_k is the Pixel Model

$$\frac{\partial X^2}{\partial \operatorname{Pixel Model}} \equiv \operatorname{Dirty Image}$$

$$I_i^M = I_{i-1}^M + \alpha \Delta X^2$$

- Various minor cycle algorithms differ in (1) parametrization of P_k , (2) types of constraints, and (3) how the constraints are applied
- Projection algorithm use **A** different from FT kernel for forward and reverse transforms to included DD effects





A small digression: Structure of imaging algorithms



- Natural domain for modeling/correcting for antenna-based effects is the Data Domain
 - Accessible in Major Cycle
- Natural domain for image-plane (non-antenna based) effects is the Image Domain
 - Accessible in Minor Cycle



Ref: Imaging & Deconvolution Basics, Thur. Lecture Series https://safe.nrao.edu/wiki/pub/Software/Algorithms/WebHome/LectureBasicsIntro.pdf

13th Synthesis Imaging Workshop, May29-June 5, 2012: S. Bhatnagar

New Mexico Teo

Natural domains and optimal algorithm design

• Natural domain for modeling sky: Image plane

Natural domain for modeling instrumental effects: Data domain



- Projection methods utilize the available data optimally
- Since DD effects are typically also vary with time & frequency, image domain based correction are non-optimal (and impossible for many cases)
 - Only average quantities are accessible in the image plane



A small digression: Projection algorithms

• ME entirely in the visibility domain:

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{ij}^{Obs} = \boldsymbol{E}_{ij} \left[\boldsymbol{V}^{o} \right]$$

where E_{ii} represents a direction dependent (DD) effect

- Construct a **K**_{ii} which models the desired DD effect
- If *K^T_{ii} E_{ii}* ~ Constant (Unitary Operator after normalization)
 - Use K_{ij}^T for making images
 - Use K_{ij} computing model data

Forward transform (approximate)

Reverse transform (accurate)

- Projection methods use modified forward and reverse transforms to iteratively correct for DD effects
- Iterations will converge if the operator is least at approximately unitary





Full imaging algorithms



Solutions: W-Projection





The W-Projection Algorithm

- Optics interpretation
 - $V^{o}(u, v, w) = V(u, v, w = 0) * G(u, v, w)$
- Algorithm
 - Model prediction (major cycle) [Residual computation]
 - Perform a 2D FFT of the model image (appropriately tapered)⁵⁰ UU (this is V(u, v, w=0))
 - Evaluate the above convolution equation during de-Gridding to $get V^o(u, v, w)$

(lambda)

- Compute the Dirty Image (minor cycle) [Deconvolution]
 - Use $G^{T}(u, v, w)$ on each $V^{o}(u, v, w)$ during gridding to evaluate V(u, v)
 - Perform a 2D FFT⁻¹ of V(u, v)





W-Projection: Performance

- Scaling laws:
 - Facet imaging: $(N_{Facets}^2 N_{GCF}^2) N_{vis}$
 - **W-Projection:** $(N_{WPlanes}^2 + N_{GCF}^2)N_{vis}$
 - Ratio:

•

NRA

 $\approx N_{GCF}^2$ for large number of facets/WP lanes



Examples: 74MHz, before correction



Courtesy: K. Golap



New Mexico Tech Solence twomfermor reference unversarry

Examples: W-Projection imaging





13th Synthesis Imaging Workshop, May29-June 5, 2012: S. Bhatnagar

Examples: 2D Imaging







Examples: Facet Imaging







Examples: W-Projection Imaging







Full beam imaging

- Ignore the w-term for the moment: $V_{ij}^{Obs}(v) = \int J_{ij}^{S}(s, v, t) I(s, v) e^{\iota \left(u_{ij}l + v_{ij}m\right)} ds$
- Some observations:
 - J_{ij}^{s} is direction dependent:



- $J_{ij}^{s} = J_{i}^{s} \otimes J_{j}^{s}$: This is true for most instrumental, atmospheric /ionospheric corruptions (all effects that obey "closure relationship")
- When $J_i^s = J_j^s$ and stationary in time (e.g. PB of ideal, identical antennas), it's effects can be corrected in the image domain



$$\frac{I^{Obs}}{J^{s}(s,\nu)}=I(s,\nu)$$



More observations...

• J_{i}^{s} are in general complex (complex Primary Beams!)



Polarization dependence

• ... J_{i}^{s} vary with polarization







Error propagation







New Mexico Tech Science Bookerman Research University of New Mexico

Examples: Stokes-I and -V imaging



13th Synthesis Imaging Workshop, May29-June 5, 2012: S. Bhatnagar

NRA

Examples: Stokes-V







Theory: Full-beam imaging

• Re-cap – the simplified ME

$$V_{ij}^{Obs}(v) = \int J_{ij}^{S}(s, v, t) \quad I(s, v) \quad e^{\iota \left(u_{ij}l + v_{ij}m\right)} \quad ds$$

• Re-write it as

$$\boldsymbol{V_{ij}^{Obs}} = \boldsymbol{E_{ij}} * \left[\boldsymbol{V} \right] = \boldsymbol{E_{i}^{*}} * \boldsymbol{E_{j}} * \left[\boldsymbol{V^{o}} \right]$$

 E_i : Antenna Aperture Illumination Pattern $E_i = FT \left[J_i^s \right]$

 V^{obs} is equal to true visibilities convolved with the correlation of the two antenna Aperture Illumination patterns.

- If there exists a function K_{ij} such that $K_{ij}^{T} * E_{ij} \sim Constant$
 - Gridding: $V_{ij}^{G} = K_{ij}^{T} * V_{ij}^{Obs} = K_{ij}^{T} * E_{ij} * [V^{o}] \approx [V^{o}]_{ij}$
 - Imaging: $FFT\left[V^{o}\right] \rightarrow I^{d}$
 - Prediction: $V_{ij}^{M} = K_{ij} * FFT [I^{M}]$



• K_{ii} is the coherence field filter for the baseline i-j

Ref: A&A, 487, 419, 2009 (arXiv:0808.0834)

New Mexico Tech BCIENCE: ENGINEERING : RESARCH: UNIVERSITY The University of New Mexico

Solution: A-Projection algorithm



NRAO *

SCIENCE · ENGINEERING · RESEARCH · UNIVERSITY The University of New Mexico

Stokes-I: Before correction







Stokes-I: After correction







Stokes-V: Before correction







Stokes-V: After correction







WB effects: Frequency dependent PB

$$V_{ij}^{Obs}(v) = \int J_{ij}^{S}(s, v, t) \quad I(s, v) \quad e^{\iota \left(u_{ij}l + v_{ij}m\right)} \quad ds$$

... J^s, vary with frequency...









WB Effects: Frequency dependent PB

• L-band imaging with BW = 1GHz





- FT + MS-MFS: May work for static case
 - Requires more Taylor Terms ==> Higher memory footprint





WB effects: Frequency dependent PB

$$V_{ij}^{Obs}(v) = \int J_{ij}^{S}(s, v, t) I(s, v) e^{\iota \left(u_{ij}^{l+v} + v_{ij}^{m} \right)} ds$$

• ... J^s, vary with frequency... frequency dependence also varies with time!





WB effects: Frequency dependent PB

- A-Projection with MS-MFS: Account for <u>narrow-band PB</u> time variability
 - Only time-averaged quantities available in image domain
 - Cannot correct for time-varying WB effects •
 - Require more Taylor Terms (even higher memory footprint) •



FT + MT-MFS





ew Mexico Tec

Solution: WB A-Projection

NRA

Construct $A(v_*)$ such that $A(v_*)A^o(v)$ is independent of frequency



13th Synthesis Imaging Workshop, May29-June 5, 2012: S. Bhatnagar

New Mexico

Solution: WB A-Projection

• Example of WB-AWP + MT-MFS (or any other appropriate minor-cycle algorithm)



A-Proj. + MT-MFS







Examples: Time varying PBs



Simulations for LWA @50MHz (Masaya Kuniyoshi (LWA/NRAO))



Model for EVLA PB at L-Band



Imaging with Aperture-array telescope



- Application of AW-Projection for imaging with Aperture-array
- Using R&D code modified for application to LOFAR



13th Synthesis Imaging Workshop, May29-June 5, 2012: S. Bhatnagar

References:

- Books Interferometry and Synthesis in Radio Astronomy, 2nd Ed.: Thompson, Moran and Swenson
 - Synthesis Imaging in Radio Astronomy: II The "White Book"
 - W-Projection: IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, Vol. 2, No. 5, 2008
 - A-Projection: A&A, 487, 419, 2008 (arXiv:0808.0834)
 - Scale sensitive deconvolution of astronomical images: A&A, 426, 747, 2004 (astro-ph/0407225)
 - MS-Clean: IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, Vol.2, No.5, 2008
 - Advances in Calibration and Imaging in Radio Interferometry: Proc. IEEE, Vol. 97, No. 8, 2008
- Articles Calibration and Imaging challenges at low frequencies: ASP Conf. Series, Vol. 407, 2009
- High Fidelity Imaging of Moderately Resolved Source; PhD Thesis, Briggs, NMT, 1995 Thesis
 - Parametrized Deconvolution for Wide-band Radio Synthesis Imaging; PhD Thesis, Rao Venkata; NMT, 2010
 - http://www.aoc.nrao.edu/~sbhatnag
 - NRAO Algo. R&D Page: https://safe.nrao.edu/wiki/bin/view/Software/Algorithms/WebHome
 - Home pages of SKA Calibration and Imaging Workshops (CALIM), 2005, 2006, 2008, 2009



Web Pages

Papers

Review

Home Pages of: EVLA, ALMA, ATA, LOFAR, ASKAP, SKA, MeerKat

