

Spectral Line Observing II. Outline

- Editing and Flagging
- Bandpass Calibration
- Imaging and Deconvolution
- Continuum Subtraction
- Data Visualization and Analysis



Editing and Flagging of Spectral Line Data

4

Initial editing of spectral line data can be performed efficiently using a Channel 0* dataset.

The improved S/N of the Channel 0 data aids in identifying problems affecting all frequency channels (e.g., malfunctioning electronics or mechanical problems with a particular antenna; solar contamination).

Resulting flags are then be copied to the line dataset and applied to *all* spectral channels.

*Channel 0 = a pseudo-continuum data set formed by vector-averaging the inner \sim 75% of the spectral band.











Bandpass Calibration: What is it?

In general, the goal of calibration is to find the relationship between the observed visibilities, V_{obs} , and the true visibilities, V:

$$V_{ij}(t,v)_{obs} = V_{ij}(t,v)G_{ij}(t)B_{ij}(t,v)$$

where t is time, v is frequency, *i* and *j* refer to a pair of antennas (i,j) (i.e., one baseline), *G* is the complex "continuum" gain, and *B* is the complex frequency-dependent gain (the "bandpass").

Bandpass calibration is the process of deriving the *frequencydependent* part of the gains, $B_{ii}(t,v)$ (i.e., the spectral response function).

 B_{ij} may be constant over the length of an observation, or it may have a slow time dependence.





The quality of the bandpass calibration is a key limiting factor in the ability to detect and analyze spectral features.

- Bandpass amplitude errors may mimic changes in line structure with v
- v-dependent phase errors may lead to spurious positional offsets of spectral features as a function of frequency, mimicking doppler motions of the emitting/absorbing material.
- v-dependent amplitude errors limit ability to detect/measure weak line emission superposed on a continuum source (simply subtracting off the continuum does not fully alleviate this problem).
- For continuum experiments performed in spectral line mode, dynamic range of final images is limited by quality of bandpass calibration.



Bandpass Calibration: Some Guidelines

At the VLA, bandpass calibration is typically performed using observations of a strong continuum source.

Within the frequency range of interest, bandpass calibration source(s) should have:

- (1) high S/N in each spectral channel
- (2) an intrinsically flat spectrum
- (3) no spectral features
- (4) no changes in structure across the band

Rule of thumb:

BP calibrator should have sufficient S/N *per channel* so as not to degrade the target spectrum by more than ~10%; i.e.,

(S/N)_{BP}> 2×(S/N)_{target}



Computing the Bandpass Calibration

In theory, the frequency spectrum of the visibilities of a flat-spectrum calibration source should yield a direct estimate of the bandpass for each baseline : $B_{ij}(t,v) = B_{ij}(t,v)_{obs}/S_{cal}$ BUT: this requires very high S/N.

Most corruption of the bandpass occurs before correlation, and is linked to individual antennas.

⇒solve for antenna-based gains: $B_{ij}(t,v) \approx B_i(t,v) B_j(t,v)^*$ = $b_i(t,v)b_j(t,v) \exp[i(\phi_i(t,v)\phi_j(t,v))]$

• Given *N* antennas, now only *N* complex gains to solve for compared with N(N - 1)/2 for a baseline-based solution.

 \Rightarrow less computationally intensive

 \Rightarrow improvement in S/N of ~ sqrt[(*N*-1) /2]

• Calibration can be obtained for all antennas, even if some baselines are missing.











Computing the Bandpass Calibration: Closure Errors

23

Note: If $B_{ij}(t,v)$ is not strictly factorable into antenna-based gains, then *closure errors* (baseline-based errors) will result.

Closure errors can be a useful diagnostic of many types of problems in the data (e.g., a malfunctioning correlator; a calibration source too weak to be detected on all baselines; RFI).





Imaging and Deconvolution of Spectral Line Data: A Few Guidelines

26

Should I vary my cleaning strategy from channel to channel?

It is generally best to use the same restoring beam for all channels, and to clean all channels to the same depth.

However, it may be necessary to modify any "clean boxes" from channel to channel if the spatial distribution of emission changes.

Imaging and Deconvolution of Spectral Line Data: A Few Guidelines

27

How deeply should I clean?

Rule of thumb: until the sidelobes lie below the level of the thermal noise or until the total flux in the clean components levels off.





Imaging and Deconvolution of Spectral Line Data: A Few Guidelines

29

What type of weighting should I use?

Robust weighting (with \mathcal{R} between -1 and 1) allows the production of images with a good compromise between spatial resolution, sensitivity to extended emission, and low rms noise.

\Rightarrow a good choice for most spectral line applications.





<u>Spatially:</u>

Smoothing data spatially (through convolution in the image plane or tapering in the u-v domain) can help to emphasize faint, extended emission.

Caveats:

This only works for *extended* emission.

This cannot recover emission on spatial scales larger than the largest angular scale to which the interferometer is sensitive.

Smoothing effectively downweights the longer baselines, leaving fewer data points in the resulting image; this tempers gains in S/N.

Some Notes on Smoothing Spectral Line Data

In frequency:

Smoothing in frequency can improve S/N in a line if the smoothing kernel matches the line width ("matched filter").

Caveats:

In general, channel width, spectral resolution, and noise equivalent bandwidth are all different: $\Delta v_c \neq \Delta v_R \neq \Delta v_N$

 \Rightarrow Smoothing in frequency does not propagate noise in a simple way.

Example: data are Hanning smoothed to diminish Gibbs ringing

- Spectral resolution will be reduced from $1.2\Delta\nu$ to $2.0\Delta\nu$
- Noise equivalent bandwidth is now $2.67 \Delta \nu$
- Adjacent channels become correlated: ~16% between channels i and i+1; ~4% between channels i and i+2.

 \Rightarrow further smoothing or averaging in frequency does not lower noise by sqrt(n_{chan})









Continuum Subtraction: Visibility-Based

Basic idea: (e.g., AIPS tasks UVLIN, UVBAS, UVLSF)

1. Fit a low order polynomial to a select group of channels in the u-v domain.

2. Subtract the fit result from all channels.

Pros:

- Fast and easy
- Robust to common systematic errors
- Accounts for any spectral index across the band
- Can automatically output continuum model
- Automatic flagging of bad data possible

Cons:

- Channels used in fit must be entirely line-free
- Requires line-free channels on both ends of the band
- Noise in fitted channels will be biased low in your images
- Works well only over a restricted field of view: $\theta \ll v_0 \theta_s / \Delta v_{tot}$ (see Cornwell, Uson, and Haddad 1992)

Continuum Subtraction: Clean Image Domain

Basic approach: (e.g., AIPS task IMLIN)

- 1. Fit low-order polynomial to the line-free portion of the data cube
- 2. Subtract the fit from the data; output new cube

Pros:

- Fast
- Accounts for any spectral index across the band
- Somewhat better than UVLIN at removing continuum away from phase center (see Cornwell, Uson, and Haddad 1992)
- Can be used with few or no line-free channels (if emission is localized and/or blanked prior to fitting)

Cons:

- Requires line and continuum to be simultaneously deconvolved;
- \Rightarrow good bandpass+deep cleaning required
- (but very effective for weak/residual continuum subtraction)

38



Pros:

- Can remove continuum over a large field of view

Cons:

- Computationally expensive
- Any errors in the model (e.g., deconvolution errors) will introduce systematic errors in the line data













Visualizing Spectral Line Data: Conveying 3-D Data in Two Dimensions

46

The information content of 3-D data cubes can be conveyed using a variety of 1-D or 2-D displays:

- 1-D slice along velocity axis = line profile
- Series of line profiles along one spatial axis = position-velocity plot
- 2-D slice at one point on velocity axis = channel image
- 2-D slices integrated along the velocity axis = moment maps















Visualizing Spectral Line Data: Moment Analysis	
The first three moments of a line profile yield, respectively: the total (frequency-integrated) intensity, the velocity field, and the velocity dispersion.	
$I_{ m tot}(lpha,\delta) = \Delta v \sum_{i=1}^{N_{ m chan}} S_{ u}(lpha,\delta, u_i)$	Total Intensity (Moment 0)
$\overline{v}(lpha, \delta) = rac{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N_{ ext{chan}}} v_i S_ u(lpha, \delta, u_i)}{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N_{ ext{chan}}} S_ u(lpha, \delta, u_i)}$	Intensity-Weighted Velocity (Moment 1)
$\sigma_{v}(\alpha, \delta) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{chan}}} (v_{i} - \overline{v}(\alpha, \delta))^{2} S_{\nu}(\alpha, \delta, \nu_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{chan}}} S_{\nu}(\alpha, \delta, \nu_{i})}}$	Intensity-Weighted Velocity Dispersion (Moment 2)







Visualizing Spectral Line Data: Moment Maps-Some Cautions 58 Moment maps should be used with caution for quantitative analysis: • - Complex line profiles (double peaked, emission+absorption) can complicate the interpretation of moment maps. • - The details of moment maps are very sensitive to noise. • - Higher order moments are not independent of the lower-order moments and hence are increasingly susceptible to artifacts; use of higher noise cutoffs is recommended. • Moment maps do not have easily-defined noise properties. Moment maps should not be used directly for quantitative measurements (integrated line fluxes, rotation curves, etc.) Use them as a guide for exploring/measuring features in the original data cube or comparison with other wavelengths/models.

