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WHAT IS HIGH DYNAMIC RANGE IMAGING? AND WHY DO IT?

• Accurate imaging with a high brightness ratio.
  – High quality imaging of strong sources
    • Flux evolution of components
    • Motions of components
    • Detection of weak features
  – Imaging of weak sources near strong sources
    • Deal with strongest sources in deep surveys
    • Deal with confusing sources near specific targets
  – Note some spectacular images have low dynamic range
    • Cygnus A, Cas A
QUALITY MEASURES

- Dynamic range:
  - Usually is ratio of peak to off-source rms
  - Easy to measure
  - A measure of the ability to detect weak features
  - Highest I am aware of as of 2004: ~500,000 on 3C84 with WSRT

- Fidelity:
  - Error of on-source features
  - Important for motion measurements, flux histories etc.
  - Hard to measure – don’t know the “true” source
    - Mainly good for simulations

- On-source errors typically much higher than off-source rms
- Highest dynamic ranges are achieved on simple sources
EXAMPLE: 3C120 VLA 6cm


Science question 1:
Is the 4" knot superluminal?
Rate near core is 0.007 times VLA beam per year. Answer after 13 years – subluminal.

Science question 2:
Chandra sees X-rays in circled region. What is the radio flux density? Needed to try to deduce emission mechanism. Radio is seen, barely, in this image.

EXAMPLE: SKA SURVEY

Survey 1 square degree to 20 nJy rms in 12 hr with 0.1" beam
• Required dynamic range $10^7$
  – There will typically be a ~200 mJy source in the field
  – Any long integration will have to deal with this problem
• Dense UV coverage required
  – About 10 sources per sq. deg. above 100 nJy.
  – Significant fraction of sky filled
• The EVLA will face the same issues, although to a lesser degree

Simulation from Windhorst et al. SKA memo which references Hopkins et al.

HST field size ($<<1$ deg)
BASIC REQUIREMENTS FOR HIGH DYNAMIC RANGE IMAGING

- A way to view the problem:
  It must be possible to subtract the model from the data with high accuracy
- The model must be a good description of the sky
  - Typically clean components or MEM image
- Need very good calibration and edit
- Deal with commonly ignored effects
  - Closure errors
  - Spurious correlation, RFI etc.
  - Finite bandwidth and sources with spatial variations in spectral index
  - Position dependent gains due to primary beam shape and pointing
  - Position dependent gains due to troposphere and ionosphere
  - 3D effects for wide fields
- Avoid digital precision effects (mostly a future issue)

UV COVERAGE

- Obtain adequate UV coverage to constrain source
  - If divide UV plane into cells of about 1/(source size), need more sampled cells than there are beam areas covering the source
- In other words, you need more constraints than unknowns
- As dynamic range increases, beam areas with emission usually does too.
- Avoid hidden distributions
  - Big UV holes
  - Missing short spacings
- Can do simple sources with poor UV coverage
- Example - 3C84 on the VLBA is a marginal case
EDITING CONSIDERATIONS

- A few individual bad points don’t have much effect
- For typical data, phase errors are more important than amplitude errors
  - Example: a 5° phase error is equivalent to a 9% amplitude error
- Small systematic errors can have a big cumulative effect
- Nearly all editing should be station based
  - Most data problems are due to a problem at an antenna
  - Most clipping algorithms don’t do this, which is a problem
  - Exceptions often relate to spurious correlation
    - RFI, DC offsets, pulse cal tones ….

SELF-CALIBRATION

- High dynamic range imaging requires self-calibration
  - Atmosphere limits dynamic range to about 1000 for nodding calibration
- High dynamic range is possible with just self-calibration
  - Nodding calibration is not required – get more time on-source
  - Typical VLBI case, but also true on VLA – see 3C120 example
  - But absolute position is not constrained – will match input model
- Many iterations may be needed
  - Most true for complex sources and/or poor UV coverage
  - May need to vary parameters to help convergence
    - Robustness, UV range, taper, solution interval etc.
CLOSURE ERRORS

- The measured visibility $V'_{ij}$ for true visibility $V_{ij}$ is:

$$V'_{ij} = g_{i}(t) \, g_{j}(t) \, G_{ij}(t) \, V_{ij}(t) + \varepsilon_{ij}(t) + \varepsilon_{ij}(t)$$

From the self-calibration chapter

- $g_{i}(t)$ is a complex antenna gain
  - Initially measured on calibrators
  - Improved with self-calibration
  - Depends on sky position for large fields (comparable to primary beam)

- $G_{ij}(t)$ is the portion of the gain that cannot be factored by antenna
  - These are the closure errors
  - The harmful variety are usually slowly or not variable

- $\varepsilon_{ij}(t)$ is an additive offset term
  - For example spurious correlation of RFI etc.
  - These are also closure errors – the gain cannot be factored by antenna
  - Usually ignored

- $\varepsilon_{ij}(t)$ is the thermal noise

CLOSURE ERRORS: EXTREME MISMATCHED BANDPASS EXAMPLE

The average amplitudes on each baseline cannot be described in terms of antenna dependent gains
**CLOSURE ERRORS: WHY THEY MATTER**

- Closure errors ($G_{ij}(t)$) are typically small
  - VLA continuum: of order 0.5%
  - VLBA and VLA line: less than 0.1%
  - Often smaller than data noise
- But the harmful closure errors are systematic
  - All data points on a given baseline may have the same offset
- Small systematic errors mount up
  - Any data error is reduced in the image by about $1/\sqrt{N}$ where $N$ is the number of independent values
  - For noise, each data point is independent and $N$ is the number of visibilities, which is large
  - For many closure errors, $N$ is only the number of baselines
    - $\sqrt{N_{bas}} \approx N_{ant}$

**AVOIDING CLOSURE ERRORS (1)**

- Use accurate delays and/or narrow frequency channels
  - A delay error causes a phase slope with frequency
    - Averaging can cause baseline dependent smearing - does not close
  - Instrumental delays need to be removed accurately
    - VLA continuum system needs accurately set delays on-line
  - Delay changes with sky position, so wide fields need narrow channels
- Use sufficiently short time averages to avoid smearing
  - Such smearing is baseline dependent - does not close
  - Troposphere, Ionosphere, Poor geometric model
  - Offset positions in wide field imaging
- Well matched bandpasses
  - Mismatched bandpasses cause closure errors
  - Use bandpass calibration to reduce effect
AVOIDING CLOSURE ERRORS (2)

• Avoid spurious correlations at low total fringe rate
  – Signals that can correlate: RFI, clipper offsets, pulse cal tones
    • VLA uses orthogonal Walsh functions to prevent correlation of clipper offsets. EVLA will use small frequency offsets
  – Happens on short baselines, polar sources and near V=0
    • Can even be a problem for VLBI
• Quantization correction (Van Vleck correction)
  – At high correlation, ratio of true/measured correlation is non-linear
  – This is a digital correlator effect for samples with few bits.
  – A concern when flux density >10% of SEFD
• Avoid or calibrate the effect of polarization impurity on the parallel hand data
  – May be current VLA limiting factor

AVOIDING CLOSURE ERRORS (3)

• Avoid or calibrate instrumental errors
  – Example: Non-orthogonality of real and imaginary signals from Hilbert transformer in VLA continuum causes closure errors.
    • Raw phase dependent
    • Limits VLA continuum system dynamic range to about 20,000
    • Can hold constant by using array phasing
    • Calibrate on strong source
• Avoid poor coherence - causes closure errors
  – Keep calibration solution intervals short compared to coherence time
CALIBRATING CLOSURE ERRORS

• Avoid closure errors if possible by using appropriate observation parameters
• Baseline calibration on strong calibrator
  – After best self cal, assume time averaged residual on each baseline is a closure error
  – Need high SNR
  – Errors in the calibrator model can transfer to data
    • Most problematic for polar sources and snapshot calibrator observations
• Closure self-calibration
  – A baseline calibration on the target source
  – Depends on closure offsets being constant while UV structure is not
  – Will perfectly reproduce the model for snapshot
  – Some risk of matching the model even with long observations

IMAGING ISSUES FOR HIGH DYNAMIC RANGE

• Digital representation:
  – For CLEAN, negative components are required to represent an unresolved feature between cells
    • Don't stop CLEAN or self-cal at first negative
  – If possible, put bright points on grid cells
  – Need 5 or 6 cells per beam
  – 32 bit real numbers may not be adequate for SKA
• Use the most appropriate deconvolution algorithm
  – MEM for large, smooth sources
  – CLEAN for compact sources
  – NNLS best for partially resolved sources (avoid Briggs effect)
• Don't use CLEAN boxes that are too large
  – CLEAN can fit the noise with a few points and give spurious low rms
LARGE FIELD IMAGING ISSUES

- Position dependent gain:
  - Primary beam
    - Scales with frequency
    - Varies with pointing
    - Squint: RCP & LCP beams offset for asymmetric antennas (VLA, VLBA)
    - Rotates with hour angle
  - Isoplanatic patch – ionosphere or troposphere variations in position
- Bandwidth and time average smearing away from center
- May need to deal with confusing sources
  - Can be outside primary beam main lobe – separate self-cal
  - Bigger problem as sensitivity increases (serious for SKA)
  - Serious problem at low frequencies
- Topic of active research in algorithms

LIMITS IMPOSED BY VARIOUS ERRORS

Numbers are approximate maximum dynamic range
- Atmosphere without self-calibration: 1,000
- Closure errors VLA continuum: 20,000
- Closure errors VLA line or VLBA: >100,000
- Uncalibrated closure errors (after baseline calibration)
  - VLA: >200,000
  - WSRT: >400,000
- Thermal noise + maximum source strength > $10^6$
  - Very few sources are bright enough to reach this limit with current instruments.
    - Bigger problem with EVLA and especially SKA
**EXAMPLE: 3C273 VLA**

B Array

Rotated so jet is vertical

From R. Perley
Synthesis Imaging
Chapter 13.

---

**3C273 RESIDUAL DATA**

Points above 1 Jy from correlator malfunction.

Points below 1 Jy mostly show closure errors
EXAMPLE: 3C273 CONTINUED

- Bad baseline removed
- Self-closure calibration
- Clip residuals

EXAMPLE: VALUE OF SHORT BASELINES

VLA A only
VLA A+B
WIDE FIELD EXAMPLE

• Sources in cluster Abell 2192
  – Continuum from HI line cube (z=0.2)
    • Provided by Marc Verheijen
• Bright source in first primary beam sidelobe
  – 39 mJy after primary beam attenuation
  – Self-cal on the confusing source
  – Subtract from UV data
  – Self-cal on primary beam sources

Confusing source outside primary beam near bottom
WIDE FIELD EXAMPLE: SAMPLE PRIMARY BEAMS

Beams from different antennas

Note variations far from center
The Briggs effect is a deconvolution problem with partially resolved sources

- Interpolation between longest baselines poor
- Not seen on unresolved sources
- Not seen on well resolved sources
- Seen with all common deconvolution algorithms (CLEAN, MEM ...)
- Dan developed the NNLS algorithm which works
  - Non-Negative Least Squares
  - Restricted to sources of modest size (computer limitations)
BRIGGS EFFECT EXAMPLE: 3C48 UV DATA

BRIGGS EFFECT EXAMPLE: 3C48 IMAGES
THE END