#### Low Frequency Interferometry

#### **Tracy Clarke** (Naval Research Laboratory)

Tenth Summer Synthesis Imaging Workshop University of New Mexico, June 13-20, 2006



### History of Radio Astronomy: Low Frequencies



Radio astronomy was born in the 1930's with Karl Jansky's work at 20.5 MHz (14.5 m) at Bell labs

Reber continued radio astronomy work at 160 MHz (1.9 m)



## History of Radio Astronomy

First radio telescopes operated at long wavelengths with low spatial resolution and very high system temperatures

> Radio astronomy quickly moved to higher frequencies with better spatial resolution ( $_{O_{22}}$ ) and lower system temperatures

 $\theta \sim 1$ ', rms ~ 3 mJy/beam

 $\theta \sim 10^{\circ}$ , rms ~ 30 mJy/beam



# Science: Thermal vs. Synchrotron Emission<sub>4</sub>

#### <u>Thermal Emission</u> (Free-Free, Bremsstrahlung):

- Best observed at cm  $\lambda$  (v > 1 GHz)
- Deflection of free electrons by positive ions in hot gas
- Depends on temperature of the gas

#### Synchrotron Emission:

- Best observed at m  $\lambda$  (v < 1 GHz)
- Relativistic electrons spiraling around magnetic field lines (high-energy astrophysics)
- Depends on the energy of the electrons and magnetic field strength
- Emission is polarized
- Can be either coherent or incoherent



Thompson, Moran, & Swenson

## Bursts From Jupiter & Extra-Solar Planets 5



Future instruments will resolve Jupiter and may detect extra-solar planets

### **Galactic Science Examples**

#### ➤ Galactic: - Galactic center black hole Sgr A\*

- non-thermal filaments: magnetic field orientation
- transients
- supernova remnant census
- SNR acceleration
- HII regions
- diffuse nonthermal source (DNS): field strength

## **Galactic Center Filaments**

#### ➤ Galactic Center: non-thermal filaments



Synchrotron filaments trace magnetic field lines and particle distribution.

Near the Galactic center filaments are perpendicular to the plane but the "Pelican" filament is parallel to the plane, allowing the magnetic field orientation to be further mapped



Lang et al. (1999)

### **Transients**

Transients: sensitive, wide fields at low frequencies provide powerful opportunity to search for new transient sources
 candidate coherent emission transient recently discovered near Galactic center



(Hyman, et al., 2005, Nature)

## **Galactic Supernova Remnant Census**

9

#### ➤ Census: expect over 1000 SNR and know of ~230



### SNRs: Shock Acceleration vs. Thermal Absorption



(T. Delaney – thesis with L. Rudnick)

## **Pulsars**

#### • Detecting fast (steep-spectrum) pulsars

- highly dispersed, distant PSRs
- tight binaries
- submsec?
- Probe PSR emission mechanism
  - explore faint end of luminosity function
  - spectral turnovers near 100 MHz

#### • New SNR/pulsars associations

-- Deep, high surface brightness imaging of young pulsars



FIG. 2.—The radio spectrum of 4C 21.53W. Data are listed in Table 2. The solid lines correspond to spectral indices of -0.26 ( $\nu > 150$  MHz) and -2.44 ( $\nu > 150$  MHz).

Spectrum of 4C21.53: 1st msec pulsar

## **Extragalactic Science Examples**

#### ≻ Extragalactic: - radio galaxy lifecycle

- particle acceleration in radio galaxies
- radio lobe particle content
- energy feedback into the intracluster medium
- particle acceleration in merger/accretions shocks
- tracing Dark Matter
- sample selection for Dark Energy studies
- detection of high redshift radio galaxies
- study of epoch of reionization

## Radio Galaxies: Outburst Lifecycle



Hydra A at 4500 MHz (inset) shows an FR-I morphology on scales of <1.5' (100 kpc)



- New 74 and 330 MHz data show Hydra A is > 8'(530 kpc) in extent with large outer lobes surrounding the high frequency source
- Outer lobes have important implications for the radio source lifecycle and energy budget

13

Lane et al. (2004)

## Driving Shocks into the ICM



 Chandra X-ray emission detects shock front surrounding low frequency radio contours

- Expanding radio lobes drive the shock over last ~1.4x10<sup>8</sup> yr
- Total energy input significantly exceeds requirements to offset X-ray cooling in cluster



## Cluster Mergers: Diffuse Synchrotron Emission



Several clusters display large regions of diffuse synchrotron:

- 'halos' & 'relics' associated with merging clusters
- radio emission is generally steep spectrum

• location, morphology, spectral properties, etc... can be used to understand merger geometry

## Cosmology: Tracing Dark Energy



Observations of cosmic acceleration have led to studies of Dark Energy:

- clusters should be representative samples of the matter density in the Universe
- study DE through various methods including the 'baryonic mass fraction'
- requires assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium
- merging cluster can be identified and removed using low frequency detections of halos and relics (Clarke et al. 2005)

## High Redshift Galaxies: Steep Spectrum 18



Observations of cosmic acceleration have led to studies of Dark Energy:

• Synchrotron losses steepen the spectrum of radio galaxies at high z

• Inverse Compton losses act similarly to steepen the spectrum, especially at high z since IC losses scale as  $z^4$ .

• Spectrum is also red shifted to lower frequencies so that the entire *observed* spectrum is steep.

# Epoch of Reionization: $z \approx 6$ (H I at 200 MHz)<sub>19</sub>

Universe made rapid transition from largely neutral to largely ionized

- Appears as optical Gunn-Peterson trough in high-*z* quasars
- Also detectable by highly-red shifted 21 cm H I line in absorption against first quasars, GRB's, SF galaxies ...
- WMAP 3<sup>yr</sup>: re-ionization epochs near z~11 (HI at 115 MHz)



SDSS: Becker et al. (2001)

# **VLA Low Frequency Sky Survey: VLSS**

#### Survey Parameters

- 74 MHz
- Dec. > -30 degrees
- 80" resolution
- rms ~100 mJy/beam

#### Deepest & largest LF survey

- $N \sim 10^5$  sources in  $\sim 80\%$  of sky
- Statistically useful samples of rare sources
  - => fast pulsars, distant radio galaxies, cluster radio halos and relics
- Unbiased view of parent populations for unification models
- Important calibration grid for VLA, GMRT, & future LF instruments
- Data online at: http://lwa.nrl.navy.mil/VLSS
- Condon, Perley, Lane, Cohen, et al



~ 95 % complete



## Low Frequency In Practice: Not Easy! 22

• Bandwidth smearing

Distortion of sources with distance from phase center

• Interference:

Severe at low frequencies

• Phase coherence through ionosphere

Corruption of coherence of phase on longer baselines

• Finite Isoplanatic Patch Problem:

Calibration changes as a function of position

• Large Fields of View: *Perley lecture* 

Non-coplanar array (*u*,*v*, & *w*)

Large number of sources requiring deconvolution

Calibrators

# Low Frequencies: Step 1



## **Bandwidth Smearing**

• Averaging visibilities over finite BW results in chromatic aberration worsens with distance from the phase center => radial smearing  $(\Delta v/v_o)x(\theta_o/\theta_{synth}) \sim 2 => I_o/I = 0.5 =>$  worse at higher resolutions

Freq. (MHz)	BW (MHz)	A-config. $\theta_{synth}$ (")	Radius of PB <sub>FWHM</sub> (')	$\theta_{MAX}$ (') for 50% degradation
74	1.5	25	350	41
330	6.0	6	75	11
1420	50	1.4	15	1.3

Solution: spectral line mode (already essential for RFI excision)

Rule of thumb for full primary beam targeted imaging in A config. with less than 10% degradation:

74 MHz channel width < 0.06 MHz</td>330 MHz channel width < 0.3 MHz</td>1420 MHz channel width < 1.5 MHz</td>

# **Radio Frequency Interference: RFI**

As at cm wavelengths, natural and man-genera,
 Getting "better" at low freq., relative BW for

- At VLA: different character at 330 and 74 MF
  - 74 MHz: mainly VLA generated=> the "comb" from 100 kHz oscillators
  - 330 MHz: mainly external
  - Solar effects unpredictable
    - Quiet sun a benign 2000 Jy disk at 74
    - Solar bursts, geomagnetic storms are disruptive => 10<sup>9</sup> Jy!
    - Ionospheric scintillations in the late night often the worst
    - Powerful Solar bursts can occur even at Solar minimum!
  - Can be wideband (C & D configurations), mostly narrowband
- Requires you to take data in spectral line mode
  - RFI can usually be edited out tedious but "doable"



25

#### 35 km 12 km 3 km

#### 3 km

# **RFI Excision**



AIPS: SPFLG



RFI environment worse on short baselines

Several 'types': narrow band, wandering, wideband, ...

Wideband interference hard for automated routines

Example using AIPS tasks FLGIT, FLAGR

Unfortunately, still best done by hand!

### **RFI Excision in Practice**

• Approach: averaging data in time and/or frequency makes it easier to isolate RFI, which averages coherently, from Gaussian noise, which does not

• Once identified, the affected times/baselines can be flagged in the un-averaged dataset

Where to start? AIPS tasks: QUACK, SPFLG, TVFLG, UVPLT, UVFND, UVFLG, UVSUB, CLIP, FLGIT, FLAGR, ...
Stokes V can be helpful to identify interference signals



## **Ionospheric Structure:**



- Waves in the ionosphere introduce rapid phase variations (~1°/s on 35 km BL)
- Phase coherence is preserved on BL < 5km
- BL > 5 km have limited coherence times
- Historically limited capabilities of low frequency instruments

# **Ionospheric Effects**

Wedge Effects: Faraday rotation, refraction, absorption below ~ 5 MHz (atmospheric cutoff) Wave and Turbulence Effects: Rapid phase winding, differential refraction, source distortion, scintillations



> The wedge introduces thousands of turns of phase at 74 MHz

Interferometers are particularly sensitive to difference in phase (wave/turbulence component)

### **Ionospheric Refraction & Distortion**

30



## Antenna Phase as a Function of Time



=> MYTH: Low freq. observing is better at night.

Often daytime (but not dawn) has the best conditions

# 'Dealing' with the lonosphere

- Self-calibration models ionosphere as a time-variable antenna based phase:  $\phi_i(t)$
- Loop consisting of imaging and self-calibration
  - model improves and S/N for self-cal increases

• Typical approach is to use a priori sky-based model such as NVSS, WENSS, or higher frequency source model (AIPS: SETFC, FACES, CALIB, IMAGR)

- freezes out time variable refraction
- ties positions to known sky-model
- DOES NOT ALWAYS WORK e.g. fails due to thermal absorption

• This method assumes a single ionospheric solution applies to entire FOV

- in reality the assumption is only valid over a smaller region but is probably ok if most of the flux is in the source of interest and only want small FOV

## **Isoplanatic Patch Assumption**

- Standard self-calibration sets single ionospheric solution across entire FOV:  $\varphi_i(t)$ 
  - OK if brightest source is the only target of interest in the field
  - Problems: differential refraction, image distortion, reduced sensitivity
  - Solution: selfcal solutions with angular dependence
    - $\varphi_{i}(t) \Rightarrow \varphi_{i}(t, \alpha, \delta)$
  - Problem mainly for 74 MHz A and B arrays
- Zernike polynomial phase screen
  - Developed by Bill Cotton (NRAO)
  - Delivers astrometrically correct images
  - Fits phase delay screen rendered as a plane in 3-D viewed from different angles

#### Key handicaps:

- Need high S/N—significant data loss under poor ionospheric conditions
- Total flux should be dominated by point sources



New tools will be needed for next generation of instruments

# **Breakdown of Finite Isoplanatic Assumption**



Average positional error decreased from ~45" to 17" AIPS: VLAFM

## Large Fields of View (FOV) I

Noncoplanar baselines: (*u*,*v*, *and w*) *Perley lecture* 

- Important if FOV is large compared to resolution
  - => in AIPS multi-facet imaging, each facet with its own  $\theta_{synth}$

• Essential for all observations below 1 GHz and for high resolution, high dynamic range even at 1.4 GHz

- Requires lots of computing power and disk space
- AIPS: IMAGR (DO3DIMAG=1, NFIELD=N, OVERLAP=2), CASA (aka AIPS++): w-projection

Example: VLA B array 74 MHz:

~325 facets

A array requires 10X more: ~ 3000 facets ~10<sup>8</sup> pixels

# **Targeted Faceting**

enormous processing required to image entire FOV
reduce processing by targeting facets on selected sources (still large number!)
overlap a fly's eye of the central region and add individual outliers

• AIPS: SETFC



#### AIPS Tip:

• Experience suggests that cleaning progresses more accurately and efficiently if EVERY facet has a source in it.

• Best not to have extended sources spread over too many facets

axia

~10,000

arrav

degrees

#### => often must compromise

#### arge Fields of View



# VLA LF Observing Strategy

- Amplitude and bandpass calibration: Cygnus A (few x 2 min)
  - Blows through RFI!
- Phase calibration at 330 MHz: fairly easy
  - Sky is coherent across the array in C and D configurations
    - Observe one strong unresolved source anywhere in sky
  - Traditional phase calibration in A and B arrays
    - Now being superseded by NVSS Sky model no phase calibration required!
- Phase calibration at 74 MHz: more challenging
  - Cygnus A (or anything bright) is suitable in the C and D arrays
  - A and B arrays: Cyg A works for initial calibration, because enough short spacings see flux to start self-cal process
    - Selfcal can't overcome breakdown of isoplanatic patch assumption
    - Hourly scans on Cyg A => instrumental calibration for non-selfcal (Zernike polynomial) imaging
  - Calibration schemes continue to evolve rapidly with time!
- Avoid Sun particularly in compact configurations

# Current Low Frequency Interferometers: VLA

- Two Receivers:
   330 MHz = 90cm
   PB ~ 2.5° (FOV ~ 5°)
   74 MHz = 400cm
   PB ~ 12° (FOV ~ 14°)
- Simultaneous observations
- Max 330 MHz resolution 6"(+PT resolution ~3")
- Max 74 MHz resolution 25"(+PT resolution ~12")



### 74 MHz VLA: Significant Improvement in Sensitivity and Resolution

40



Many low frequency instruments in use!

### Current Lo

PRIME FOCUS FEEDS

### rs: GMRT<sub>41</sub>





Receivers: Iz = 190 cm $3.8^{\circ}$  (res ~ 20") Iz = 128 cm $2.5^{\circ}$  (res ~ 12") Iz = 90cm $1.8^{\circ}$  (res ~ 9") Iz = 50cm0.9<sup>o</sup> (res ~ 5")

## For more information:

#### Further reading:

White Book: Chapters 12.2, 15, 17, 18, 19, & 29

From Clark Lake to the Long Wavelength Array: Bill Erickson's Radio Science, ASP Conference Series 345

Data Reduction:

http://www.vla.nrao.edu/astro/guides/p-band/

http://www.vla.nrao.edu/astro/guides/4-band/

Future Instruments: LWA, LOFAR, MWA, FASR

http://lwa.nrl.navy.mil, http://lwa.unm.edu (Taylor lecture)

http://www.lofar.org/

Thanks to: C. Brogan (NRAO), N. Kassim, A. Cohen, W. Lane, J. Lazio (NRL), R. Perley, B. Cotton, E. Greisen (NRAO)