

A long time ago, in a galaxy far, far away ...

An electron was moved. This action caused an electromagnetic wave to be launched, which then propagated away, obeying the well-known Maxwell's equations.

At a later time, at another locale, this EM wave, and many others from all the other electrons in the universe, arrived at a sensing device (a.k.a. 'antenna'). The superposition of all these fields creates an electric current in the antenna, which (thanks to very clever engineers) we can measure, and which gives us information about the electric field.

What can we learn about the radiating source from such measures?



Let us denote the coordinates of our electron by:  $(\mathbf{R}, t)$ , and the vector electric field by:  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}, t)$ . The location of the 'antenna' is denoted by  $\mathbf{r}$ .

It is useful to think of these fields in terms of their spectral content. Imagine the voltage waveform going into a large filter bank, which decomposes the time-ordered field into its *mono-chromatic components* of the electric field,  $\mathbf{E}_{v}(\mathbf{R})$ .

Because the mono-chromatic components of the field from the farreaches of the universe add linearly, we can express the electric field at location **r** by:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}) = \iiint P_{\nu}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{E}_{\nu}(\mathbf{R}) dV$$

Where  $P_v(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r})$  is the *propagator*, and describes how the fields at  $\mathbf{R}$  influence those at  $\mathbf{r}$ .

Rick Perley Synthesis Imaging Summer School '02



At this point we introduce simplifying assumptions:

- Scalar fields: We consider a single scalar component of the vector field. The vector field E becomes a scalar component E, and the propagator P<sub>v</sub>(**R**,**r**) reduces from a tensor to a scalar.
- 2. The origin of the emission is at a great distance, and there is no hope of 'resolving' the depth. We can then consider the emission to originate from a common distance,  $|\mathbf{R}_0|$  -- and with an equivalent electric field  $E_v(\mathbf{R}_0)$
- 3. Space within this celestial sphere is empty. In this case, the propagator is particularly simple:

$$P_{\nu}(\mathbf{R}_{0},\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e^{2i\pi \nu |\mathbf{R}_{0}-\mathbf{r}|/c}}{|\mathbf{R}_{0}-\mathbf{r}|}$$

which simply says that the phase is retarded by  $2\pi v |\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{r}|/c$ radians, and the amplitude diminished by a factor  $1/|\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{r}|$ .

> Rick Perley Synthesis Imaging Summer School '02

We then have, for the monochromatic field component at our sampling point:

$$E_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \mathsf{E}_{\nu}(R_0) \frac{e^{2i\pi\nu|\mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{r}|/c}}{|\mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{r}|} dS$$

Note that the integration over volume has been replaced with one of the equivalent field over the celestial surface.

So – what can we do with this? By itself, it is not particular useful – an amplitude and phase at a point in time. But a 'comparison' of these fields at two different locations might provide useful information.

This comparison can be quantified by forming the complex product of these fields when measured at two places, and averaging. Define the spatial coherence function as:



We can now insert our expression for the summed monochromatic field at locations  $\mathbf{r}_1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_2$ , to obtain a general expression for the quantity  $V_{yy}$ . The resulting expression is very long -- see Equation 3-1 in the book.

We then introduce our fourth – and very important – assumption:

4. The fields are spatially incoherent. That is,

 $\langle \mathsf{E}_{\nu}(\mathbf{R}_{1})\mathsf{E}_{\nu}^{*}(\mathbf{R}_{2})\rangle = 0$  when  $\mathbf{R}_{1} \neq \mathbf{R}_{2}$ 

This means there is no long-term phase relationship between emission from different points on the celestial sphere. This condition can be violated in some cases (scattering, illumination of a screen from a common source), so be careful!

				_
New Mexico Tech	Associated Universities in:	Rick Perley Synthesis Imaging Summer School '02	<u> </u>	7

Using this condition, we find (see Chap. 1 of the book):

$$V_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}) = \int \left\langle \left| \mathsf{E}_{\nu}(\mathbf{R}_{0}) \right|^{2} \right\rangle \left| \mathbf{R}_{0} \right|^{2} \frac{e^{2i\pi\nu(\mathbf{R}_{0}-\mathbf{r}_{1})/c}}{\left| \mathbf{R}_{0}-\mathbf{r}_{1} \right|} \frac{e^{-2i\pi\nu(\mathbf{R}_{0}-\mathbf{r}_{2})/c}}{\left| \mathbf{R}_{0}-\mathbf{r}_{1} \right|} dS$$

Now we introduce two important quantities:

•The unit direction vector, **s**:  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{R} / |\mathbf{R}_0|$ 

•The specific intensity,  $I_{v}$ :  $I_{v} = |\mathbf{R}_{0}|^{2} \langle |\mathbf{E}_{v}(\mathbf{s})|^{2} \rangle$ 

And replace the surface element dS with the elemental solid angle:  $dS = |\mathbf{P}_{i}|^{2} dO$ 

$$as = |\mathbf{R}_0| as$$

Remembering that  $|\mathbf{R}_0| >> |\mathbf{r}|$ , we find:



 $\mathsf{V}_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}) = \int I_{\nu}(\mathbf{s}) e^{-2i\pi\nu\mathbf{s}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2})/c} d\Omega$ 

This beautiful relationship between the specific intensity, or brightness,  $I_v(\mathbf{s})$  (which is what we seek), and the spatial coherence function  $V_v(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$  (which is what we must measure) is the foundation of aperture synthesis in radio astronomy. It looks like a Fourier Transform – and in the next section we

It looks like a Fourier Transform – and in the next section we look to see under what conditions it becomes one.

A key point is that the spatial coherence function ('visibility') is only dependent upon the separation vector:  $\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2$ . We commonly refer to this as the *baseline*:







We then get:  

$$V_{\nu}(u, v) = \iint I_{\nu}(l, m) \frac{e^{-2i\pi(ul+vm)}}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} dl dm$$

which is a 2-dimensional Fourier transform between the projected brightness:  $I_v / \sqrt{1-l^2 - m^2}$  and the spatial coherence function (visibility):  $V_v(u,v)$ .

And we can now rely on a century of effort by mathematicians on how to invert this equation, and how much information we need to obtain an image of sufficient quality. Formally,

$$I_{v}(l,m) = \sqrt{1-l^{2}-m^{2}} \iint V_{v}(u,v) e^{i2\pi(ul+vm)} du dv$$

With enough measures of V, we can derive I.



## Case B: A 3-dimensional measurement volume:

But what if the interferometer does not measure the coherence function within a plane, but rather does it through a volume? In this case, we adopt a slightly different coordinate system. First we write out the full expression:

$$V_{\nu}(u,v,w) = \iint \frac{I_{\nu}(l,m)}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} e^{-2i\pi(ul+vm+wn)} dldm$$

(Note that this is not a 3-D Fourier Transform).

Then, orient the coordinate system so that the w-axis points to the center of the region of interest, and make use of the small angle approximation:

$$n = \sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2} \approx 1 - (l^2 + m^2)/2 = 1 - \theta^2/2$$
  
Rick Perley
  
Synthesis Imaging Summer School '02
  
Synthesis Imaging Sch

$$V_{\nu}(u,v,w) = e^{-2i\pi w} \iint \frac{I_{\nu}(l,m)}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} e^{-2i\pi(ul+vm-w\theta^2/2)} \, dl dm$$

The quadratic term in the phase can be neglected if it is much less than unity:  $w\theta^2 \ll 1$ 

Or, in other words, if the maximum angle from the center is less than:

$$\theta_{\max} < \sqrt{rac{1}{w}} \sim \sqrt{rac{\lambda}{B}} \sim \sqrt{ heta_{syn}}$$

then the relation between the Intensity and the Visibility again becomes a 2-dimension Fourier transform:







In this expression, we use 'A' to denote the amplitude of the signal. In fact, the amplitude is a function of the antenna gain and cable losses (which we ignore here), and the intensity of the source of emission.

The spectral intensity, or brightness, is defined as the power per unit area, per unit frequency width, per unit solid angle, from direction **s**, at frequency v. Thus, (ignoring the antenna's gains and losses), the power available at the voltage multiplier becomes:

$$dP = I_{\nu}(\mathbf{s}) d\Omega dA d\nu$$

The response from an extended source (or the entire sky) is obtained by integrating over the solid angle of the sky:

$$R_{c} = d\nu dA \iint I_{\nu}(\mathbf{s}) \cos(2\pi\nu \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{s}/c) d\Omega$$



This expression is close to what we are looking for. But because the cosine function is even, the integration over the sky of the correlator output will only be sensitive to the even part of the brightness distribution – it is insensitive to the 'odd' part.

We can construct an interferometer which is sensitive to only the odd part of the brightness by building a 2<sup>nd</sup> multiplier, and inserting a 90 degree phase shift into one of the signal paths, prior to the multiplier. Then, a straightforward calculation shows the output of this correlator is:

$$R_{s} = -d\nu dA \iint I_{\nu}(\mathbf{s})\sin\left(2\pi\nu\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{s}/c\right)d\Omega$$

We now have two, independent numbers, each of which gives unique information about the sky brightness. We can then define a complex quantity – the *complex visibility*, by:





The more widely separated the 'fringes', the 'more of the source' is seen in one fringe lobe.
Widely separated fringes are generated by short spacings – hence the total flux of the source is visible only when the fringe separation is much greater than the source extent.
Conversely, the fine details of the source structure are only discernible when the fringe separation is comparable to the fine structure size and/or separation.
To fully measure the source structure, a wide variety of baseline lengths and orientations is needed.
One can build this up slowly with a single interferometer, or more quickly with a multi-telescope interferometer.

Rick Perley Synthesis Imaging Summer School '02





## The Effect of Bandwidth.

Real interferometers must accept a range of frequencies (amongst other things, there is no power in an infinitesimal bandwidth)! So we now consider the response of our interferometer over frequency. To do this, we first define the frequency response functions, G(v), as the amplitude and phase variation of the signals paths over frequency. Inserting these, and taking the complex product, we get:

$$V = \frac{1}{\Delta v} \int_{v-\Delta v/2}^{v+\Delta v/2} I_v(\mathbf{s}) G_1(v) G_2^*(v) e^{2\pi i v \tau_g} dv$$

Where I have left off the integration over angle for clarity. If the source intensity does not vary over frequency width, we get

## $V = \iint I_{\nu}(\mathbf{s})\operatorname{sinc}(\tau_{g}\Delta\nu)e^{-2i\pi\nu_{0}\tau_{g}}d\Omega$

where I have assumed the bandpasses are square and of width  $\Delta v$ .

Mexico Tech Original Synthesis Imaging Summer School '02





So far, the analysis has proceeded with the implicit assumption that the center of the image is stationary, and located straight up, perpendicular to the plane of the baseline. This is an unnecessary restriction, and I now go on to the more general case where the center of interest is not 'straight up', and is moving. In fact, this is an elementary addition to what we've already done. Since the effect of bandwidth is to restrict the region over which correct measures are made to a zone centered in the direction of zero time delay, it should be obvious that to observe in some other direction, we must add delay to move the unattenuated zone to the direction of interest. That is, we must add time delay to the 'nearer' side of the interferometer, to shift the unattenuated response to the direction of interest. Rick Perley Synthesis Imaging Summer School '02

co Tech

Ľ



It should be clear from inspection that the results of the last section are reproduced, with the chromatic aberration now occurring about the direction defined by  $\tau - \tau_g = 0$ . That is, the condition becomes:

$$\Delta \theta / \theta_{res} > \nu / \Delta \nu$$

Remembering the coordinate system discussed earlier, where the w axis points to the reference center  $(s_0)$ , assuming the introduced delay is appropriate for this center, and that the bandwidth losses are negligible, we have:

$$\tau_{g} = v\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{s}/c = ul + vm + wn$$

$$\tau = v\mathbf{b}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{s}/c = w$$

$$n = \sqrt{1 - l^{2} - m^{2}}$$

$$d\Omega = dl dm / n$$
Rick Perley
Synthesis Image Summer School '02
$$\mathcal{P}$$

$$\mathcal{$$

Inserting these, we obtain:

$$V_{\nu}(u,v) = \iint \frac{I_{\nu}(l,m)}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} e^{-2i\pi [ul+vm+w(\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}-1)]} dl dm$$

This is the same relationship we derived in the earlier section.

The extension to a moving source (or, more correctly, to an interferometer located on a rotating object) is elementary - the delay term  $\tau$  changes with time, so as to keep the peak of the fringe-washing function on the center of the region of interest.

We will now complete our tour of elementary interferometers with a discussion of the effects of frequency downconversion.



Ideally, all the internal electronics of an interferometer would work at the observing frequency (often called the 'radio frequency', or RF).

Unfortunately, this cannot be done in general, as high frequency components are much more expensive, and generally perform more poorly, than low frequency components.

Thus, nearly all radio interferometers use 'downconversion' to translate the radio frequency information to a lower frequency band. For signals in the radio-frequency part of the spectrum, this can be done with almost no loss of information. But there is an important side-effect from this operation, which we now quickly review.





Thus, the frequency-conversion interferometer (which is getting quite close to the 'real deal', will provide the correct measure of the spatial coherence, provided that the phase of the LO (local oscillator) on one side is offset by:

## $\delta \phi = 2\pi f_{LO} \tau$

The reason this is necessary is that the delay,  $\tau$ , has been added in the IF portion of the signal path. Thus, the physical delay needed to maintain broad-band coherence is present, but because it is added at the 'wrong' (IF) frequency, rather than at the 'right' (RF) frequendy, an incorrect phase has been inserted. The necessary adjustment is that corresponding to the difference frequency (the LO).





I have given here an approach which is based on the idea of a complex correlator – two identical, parallel multiplies with a 90 degree phase shift introduced in one. This leads quite naturally to the formation of a complex number, which is identified with the complex coherence function.

But, a complex correlator is not necessary, if one can find another way to obtain the two independent quantities (Cos, Sin, or Real, Imaginary) needed.

A single multiplier, on a moving (or rotating) platform will allow such a pair of measures – for the fringe pattern will then 'move' over the region of interest, and the sinusoidal output can be described with two parameters (e.g., amplitude and phase).



This approach might seem attractive (fewer multipliers) until one considers the rate at which data must be logged. For an interferometer on the earth, the fringe frequency can be shown to be: dw

$$v_F = \frac{dw}{dt} = \omega_e u \cos \delta$$

Here, u is the E-W component of the baseline, and  $\omega_e$  is the angular rotation rate of the earth: 7.3 x 10<sup>-5</sup> rad/sec. For interferometers whose baselines exceed thousands of wavelengths, this fringe frequency would require very fast (and completely unnecessary) data logging and analysis.

The purpose of 'stopping' the fringes is to permit a data logging rate which is based on the differential motion of sources about the center of the field of interest. For the VLA in 'A' configuration, this is typically a few seconds.



