# Monsters Under the Magnifying Glass: Star Formation in Gravitationally Lensed Dusty Starbursts

Patrick Kamieneski **Exploration Postdoctoral Fellow** Arizona State University pkamiene@asu.edu

NM Symposium 2024





Frye et al. 2024



PLANCK ALL-SKY SURVEY TO ANALYZE **GRAVITATIONALLY-LENSED EXTREME STARBURSTS** 



What factors determine the maximal rate of star formation in a galaxy?

- Available gas reservoir near to the galaxy ready to form stars
- Ability to accrete and replenish this fuel from the surrounding medium
- Efficiency in converting gas into stars and overcome stellar feedback...



Patrick Kamieneski / NM Symposium / Nov. 2024

**ARTIST'S RENDITION:** James Josephides, Swinburne Astronomy **Productions** 



# The rate of average star formation of the Universe is on the decline (and has been for 10 billion years).

- Galaxies are star-making factories, but they are not all equally efficient.
- 10 billion years ago, the Universe was at peak productivity—forming stars at 10x the rate of today.
- Part of this is due to galaxies expending their gas supply... but there are other mechanisms at play.

Star formation in the Universe reaches a peak 3 billion years after the Big Bang—Cosmic Noon.





Iravity

gravity

Patrick Kamieneski / NM Symposium / Nov. 2024

Stellar feedback: the formation of massive stars in a galaxy can deposit energy/ momentum into the surround interstellar medium and disrupt future star formation. The fraction of obscured star formation correlates with SFR, so starbursts are also typically very dusty, providing the ingredients for radiation pressure to contribute significantly and overcome gravity.

SFR = star formation rate



Iravity

gravity

Stellar feedback: the formation of massive stars in a galaxy can deposit energy/ momentum into the surround interstellar medium and disrupt future star formation. The fraction of obscured star formation correlates with SFR, so starbursts are also typically very dusty, providing the ingredients for radiation pressure to contribute significantly and overcome gravity.

> $\rightarrow$  Most applicable when galaxy is optically thick to even re-radiated far-infrared photons

 $\rightarrow$  All momentum of photons from star formation gets transferred to the ISM!

e.g. Scoville+01, Thompson+05, Andrews+11



Iravity

gravity

Stellar feedback: the formation of massive stars in a galaxy can deposit energy/ momentum into the surround interstellar medium and disrupt future star formation.

> These processes predominate at spatial scales of Giant Molecular Clouds and smaller,  $\sim 10-100$  pc in size.

> How can we have any hope of resolving them at  $z \gtrsim 1$  without just waiting for the ngVLÅ??



Strong gravitational lensing is key to both finding and investigating the most extreme starburst events in the Universe --- and in turn, the role of stellar feedback.

Image: ALMA / NRAO / ESO / NAOJ / B. Saxton / AUI / NSF / NASA / ESA / Hubble / T. Hunter



# Identifying lensed, submm-bright objects is efficient

Why submillimeter?

- \* Intrinsic sub-mm number counts are very steep at the bright end
- \* Few low-z interlopers at  $\lambda > 500 \mu m$



Patrick Kamieneski / NM Symposium / Nov. 2024



# Identifying lensed, submm-bright objects is efficient

Why submillimeter?

- \* Intrinsic sub-mm number counts are very steep at the bright end
- \* Few low-z interlopers at  $\lambda > 500 \mu m$

Gravitational lensing shifts objects to larger apparent fluxes—beyond S<sub>500</sub> = 0.1 Jy, it's >10x more likely that objects are lensed.

Patrick Kamieneski / NM Symposium / Nov. 2024



![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Identifying a large sample of hyperluminous infrared galaxies at Cosmic Noon

- gravitationally-lensed dusty star forming galaxies (DSFGs)
- Starbursts– approx. 30 lensed DSFGs at  $z \sim 1 3.5$

### Why lensed galaxies?

- Efficient selection method
- Magnification size bias means magnification is typically only  $\leq 10 - 20$ 
  - So the *brightest* are also among the most intrinsically luminous
- "Cosmic telescope" to reach crucial sub-kpc scales of stellar mass assembly at z > 1

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

# • Selecting the brightest objects in the submillimeter is efficient at identifying • PASSAGES: *Planck* All-Sky Survey to Analyze Gravitationally Lensed Extreme

see also e.g. Harrington+16, +21; Berman+22; Kamieneski+24a; independent work by Cañameras+15; Frye+19

![](_page_9_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_15.jpeg)

## Diverse sample of (mostly galaxy/group-scale) lenses SFR ~ $100 - 3000 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

Kamieneski+24a

Patrick Kamieneski / NM Symposium / Nov. 2024

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Diverse sample of lenses

- molecular gas mass (Harrington+21)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Molecular gas reveals where stars are actively forming

Some of these monster galaxies are forming stars at rates up to 1000+ times that of our Milky Way...

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

ESA/Webb, NASA & CSA, L. Armus & A. Evans, R. Colombari ...an average of 1 Sun-like star every 9 hours!

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

By modeling the foreground mass distribution, we can de-lens what we observe and recover each galaxy's intrinsic structure.

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

HyLIRGs are not forming stars at the Eddington limitin fact they are 10x lower

## ... globally, at least. Two main possibilities:

- Other feedback mechanisms are dominant in regulating these dusty starbursts instead of radiation pressure
  - Do they need to wait for supernovae?? e.g. C. G. Kim+20; Harrington+21; Reichardt Chu+24
- Star formation is clumpy and widespread, and closer to Eddingtonlimited in isolated unresolved regions

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

Patrick Kamieneski / NM Symposium / Nov. 2024

Hodge+19, Bakx+24e

## JWST is now revealing the stellar mass skeleton underpinning dusty starforming galaxies – giving a sense of how wides pread their starburst regions actually are.

ESA/Webb, NASA & CSA, L. Armus & A. Evans

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Pixel-by-pixel SED fitting of rest-frame UV-NIR with JWST reveals very widespread starbursting conditions

Patrick Kamieneski / NM Symposium / Nov. 2024

Kindred  $z \sim 2$  Dusty Star-forming Galaxies Lensed by the PLCK G165.7+67.0 Cluster

Patrick S. Kamieneski<sup>1</sup>, Brenda L. Frye<sup>2</sup>, Rogier A. Windhorst<sup>1</sup>, Kevin C. Harrington<sup>3,4</sup>, Min S. Yun<sup>5</sup>,

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Resolved rest-frame UVJ diagram confirms the disk-wide star formation event

Patrick Kamieneski / NM Symposium / Nov. 2024

Kamieneski+24b

Not simply a compact nuclear starburst!

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Gravitationally lensed dusty star-forming galaxies (like the PASSAGES sample) are a superb laboratory for testing the physics of star formation and the interstellar medium at Cosmic Noon, with a resolution that can usually only be achieved at low-z.
- Dusty starbursts have traditionally been thought to be regulated primarily by radiation pressure, but PASSAGES (and others) have densities  $\sim 10x$  lower than the theoretical maximum. • Instead, they host widespread star formation at relatively low surface density of SFR,  $\Sigma_{SFR}$ ,
- suggesting that supernovae play an outsized role in driving feedback.

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Patrick Kamieneski pkamiene@asu.edu

Thanks for your attention! NM Symposium 2024

## Summary

Check out our team's (new) website! bit.ly/astropassages

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_16.jpeg)