The Mini Astrophysical MeV Background **Observatory (MAMBO): A CubeSat Mission for** Gamma-Ray Astronomy

P. F. Bloser¹, W. T. Vestrand¹, M. P. Hehlen¹, K. Katko¹, L. Parker¹, D. Beckman¹, J. Sedillo¹, J. McGlown¹, J. Michel¹, R. Scobie¹, T. Nelson¹, D. Poulson¹, T. Roth¹, C. Fryer¹, A. Hungerford¹, M. Mumpower¹, M. Rasmussen¹, S. Anandagoda², M. Ajello², D. Hartmann²

¹Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545 ²Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634



Introduction

The Mini Astrophysical MeV Background Observatory (MAMBO) is an innovative gamma-ray astrophysics investigation that will answer three decadesold questions about the mysterious Cosmic Diffuse Gamma-ray (CDG) background:

The MAMBO Instrument & Mission

- MAMBO achieves high efficiency and exceptional background rejection using an innovative shielding configuration (Figure 3)
- The **Primary Detector** (BGO scintillator) is exposed to the CDG
- The **Background Monitor** (identical BGO) is shielded from the CDG by the Primary, but exposed to the identical instrumental background from the sides
- What is the detailed spectral shape of the CDG from 0.3 10 MeV?
- Is the MeV CDG truly isotropic across the sky?
- What is the contribution of nuclear processes over the history of the Universe to the MeV CDG?

The MAMBO mission represents a new way of doing MeV astronomy and will demonstrate a flexible new paradigm for rapid, inexpensive science missions.

SCIENTIFIC MOTIVATION

- The origin of the CDG background in the MeV band remains a mystery over 40 years after the first measurements by Apollo 15 & 16 [1]
- More recent observations (1990s) by COMPTEL [2] suffered from large systematic errors due to instrumental background, and SMM [3] was constrained to observe the Sun
- Up-to-date theoretical modeling indicates that the contributions of radio-quiet AGNs, flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs), supernovae (both Type Ia and core collapse), and NS mergers *fall below the data* \leq 1 MeV (Figure 1; [4])



Figure 1. The latest theoretical models of the

- Gains are kept the same using a **tagged** ⁶⁰Co calibration source
- Instrumental background is thus directly measured and subtracted
- Close spacing is enabled by the use of **silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs)**



LOW-BACKGROUND OBSERVATIONS FROM A CUBESAT

- **The Problem:** The sensitivity of space-based gamma-ray instruments is severely limited by *locally generated instrumental backgrounds*
 - Energetic particles in space interact in spacecraft materials to produce both prompt and delayed (activation) background signals in the MeV band
 - As a result, *previous measurements of the MeV CDG suffer from large systematic* errors due to subtraction of instrumental backgrounds
- The MAMBO Approach: To significantly reduce background, mass must be dramatically reduced
 - The CDG is relatively bright, so only a small detector needed
 - MAMBO utilizes a 12U CubeSat bus = 25 kg total
 - Will experience an order of magnitude less instrumental background than COMPTEL on CGRO (Figure 2)

Figure 4. The instrument mechanical qualification unit (left) and 12U bus from NanoAvionics (right)

HARDWARE

- Mechanical qualification unit (Figure 4) successfully passed vibration test
- Flight electronics boards are currently being tested and integrated
- 12U CubeSat bus (Figure 4) provided by commercial partner, NanoAvionics
- Mass is just within 25 kg limit

MISSION

- Anticipate Low Earth Orbit, 55° inclination, 500 km altitude
- Launch to be provided by DoD's Space Test Program in October 2024
- Commercial ground station network for telemetry and commanding
- Point at high-Galactic-latitude fields and extrapolate backgrounds to zero

Expected Performance

Figure 2. Massive structures (CGRO: 17,000 kg) in space are subjected to intense radiation fields that generate instrumental background. On a 12U CubeSat platform, MAMBO will experience roughly an order of magnitude lower instrumental background.

REFERENCES

[1] Trombka, J. I., et al., 1977, ApJ, 212, 925. [2] Weidenspointner, G., et al., 2000, AIP Conf. Proc. 510, 581. [3] Watanabe, K., et al., 2000, AIP Conference Proceedings 510, 471. [4] Anandagoda et al. 2023 (submitted to ApJ)

Figure 5. Geant4-based simulation models (left) and simulated effective area vs. incidence angle (right)

LA-UR-23-21435

38th New Mexico Symposium