Some Results from Breakup Sessions: How to do Surveys: When, What, and How?

Rogier Windhorst (ASU) — JWST Interdisciplinary Scientist



NRAO Workshop on Galaxies Through Cosmic Time, Socorro, NM, We. Dec. 17, 2008

OUTLINE: Future Surveys: Main Science Goals

(1) JWST 2013: First Light, Start of H-Reionization, Pop-III objects

- When and how did the First Light Pop-III dominated objects form?
- How, and how slow, did Pop-III star dominated objects start H-reionization?
- How, and how much, did Pop-III objects shape the onset of Pop-II formation and its IMF?

(2) HST+WFC3 2009: Galaxy Assembly & the End of H-Reionization

- How do DM halos at $z \gtrsim 6$ transform into spirals and ellipticals today?
- How and why did the (dwarf dominated!) galaxy luminosity function and mass function evolve with epoch?
- (How) did dwarf galaxy assembly finish H-reionization at $z \simeq 6$?

(3) E-VLA 2010 + SKA $\gtrsim$2015: Galaxy Assembly, IGM & HI-MF, AGN Growth & He-Reionization

- How did the HI-MF materialize from the IGM, and how did the HI-MF(z) shape the process of Galaxy Assembly?

- How did AGN Growth keep up with Galaxy Assembly, and how much was either controlled by the epoch dependent merger rate?

- (How) did AGN Growth finish He-reionization at z$\simeq$3?

- (How) did feedback from SNe shape the faint-end LF-slope evolution? How did AGN feedback control the bright-end LF-evolution?

	FWHM	λ-range	AB-mag (10-σ; 100 hrs)
JWST:	$\gtrsim$0.08"	0.7–28.5 μm	31.5 (1.5 nJy)
HST/WFC3:	$\gtrsim$0.04"	0.19–1.7 μm	29.5 (10 nJy)
E-VLA:	$\gtrsim$0.5"	1–10 GHz	$\sim$1000 nJy
SKA:	$\gtrsim$0.1"?	1–10? GHz	$\sim$10 nJy

Will JWST, E-VLA & SKA reach the Natural Confusion Limit?





- HUDF galaxy counts (Cohen et al. 2006): expect an integral of $\gtrsim 2\times10^6$ galaxies/deg^2 to AB=31.5 mag ($\simeq$ 1 nJy at optical wavelengths). JWST & SKA/E-VLA sample similar surface densities to $\simeq$1 and 10–100 nJy.
- $\Rightarrow$ Must carry out JWST and SKA nJy-surveys with sufficient spatial resolution to avoid object confusion (from HST: this means FWHM$\lesssim$0$''$.08).
- $\Rightarrow$ Observe with JWST/NIRSpec/MSA and E-VLA/SKA HI line channels, to disentangle overlapping continuum sources in redshifts space.



1.41 GHz source counts (Windhorst et al. 1993, 2003) from 100 Jy to 10 μJy + 12-hr SKA simulation of Hopkins et al. (2000) to 100 nJy.

Models: AGN: ellipticals (dot-dash) + quasars dominate at $\gtrsim$1 mJy, starbursts (dashed) below 1 mJy, spirals (dot-long dash) dominate below 100 nJy. Radio surveys trace complete history of AGN activity and cosmic SFH.

See talks by Condon, Owen, Kellermann, (this conf.)



HST GOODS measured galaxy size evolution (Ferguson et al. 2004 ApJL):

- Median galaxy sizes decline steadily at higher redshifts, despite the cosmological Θ–z relation that minimizes at $z \simeq 1.6$ for Λ-cosmology.
- Evidence of intrinsic size evolution: $r_{hl}(z) \propto r_{hl}(0) \, . \, (1+z)^{-s}$, $s \simeq 1$.
- Caused by hierarchical formation of galaxies, leading to intrinsically smaller galaxies at higher redshifts, where fewer mergers have occurred.
- JWST & SKA must anticipate the small $\lesssim 0\farcs15$ sizes of faint galaxies.



HDF Par Type
E/S0
Sabc
Sd/Irr
(Ω_M,Ω_Λ)=
(0.3,0.7)
CDM simuls
RC3:
ESO
−LV:
2dF/
MGC:
— G−b diffraction limit —
HST I−band diffr. limit
HST/HDF SB−limit
UDF 100−hr I−band SB−limit
JWST 25−hr SB−limit
JWST diffr. limit
Natural
Conf.
limits:
1/50
beams
1/10
beams
1 obj/
beam
(sky is
covered)
Expected sizes in
hierarchical models
GC's
z=7
M_B=
−17
M_B=−20
<−−−−−−−−− 1000−hr JWST det. limit
B_Vega (F450W) [total mag]
J'_AB (1.35 μ) [total mag]
Effective Radius r_e (arcsec)



Median angular size vs. 1.41 GHz flux from 100 Jy down to 30 μJy (Windhorst et al. 2003). SKA sizes at 10–100 nJy are estimated from the HST N(r_{hl}) to AB=30 mag (3 nJy), where both detect $\gtrsim 10^6$ objects/deg^2.

Purple line is the natural confusion limit due to the intrinsic source sizes, above which sources unavoidably overlap. SKA needs ∼0.″10 FWHM resolution to best match the expected HI and radio continuum sizes.

Combination of ground-based and space-based HST surveys show:

- (1) Apparent galaxy sizes decline from the RC3 to the HUDF limits:

- (2) At the HDF/HUDF limits, this is *not* only due to SB-selection effects (cosmological $(1+z)^4$-dimming), but also due to:

- (2a) hierarchical formation causes size evolution: $r_{hl}(z) \propto r_{hl}(0)\,(1+z)^{-1}$

- (2b) increasing inability of object detection algorithms to deblend galaxies at faint mags ("natural" confusion $\neq$ "instrumental" confusion).

- (3) At AB$\gtrsim$30 mag, JWST and at $\gtrsim$10 nJy, SKA will see more than 2×10^6 galaxies/deg^2. Most of these will be unresolved ($r_{hl}\lesssim0''.1$ FWHM (Kawata et al. 2006). Since $z_{med}\simeq1.5$, this influences the balance of how $(1+z)^4$-dimming & object overlap affects the catalog completeness.

- For details, see Windhorst, R. A., et al. 2007, Advances in Space Research, Vol. 41, 1965 (astro-ph/0703171) "High Resolution Science with High Redshift Galaxies"

Surveys for First Light, H-Reionization, and Galaxy Assembly



HST (+WFC3): Hubble sequence & galaxy evolution from z≃0 to z≃7–8.

JWST: First Light, Reionization, & (dwarf) Galaxy Assembly at z≃8–20.

E-VLA/SKA: HIMF, Galaxy Assembly, & AGN Growth from z≃0 to z≃6.

HUDF i-drops: faint galaxies at z$\simeq$6 (Yan & Windhorst 2004), most spectroscopically confirmed at z$\simeq$6 to AB$\lesssim$27.0 mag (Malhotra et al. 2005).

(1) How JWST can measure First Light and Reionization



HUDF shows that luminosity function of z≃6 objects (Yan & Windhorst 2004a, b) may be very steep: faint-end Schechter slope $|\alpha|\simeq$1.6–2.0.

⇒ Dwarf galaxies and not quasars likely completed the reionization epoch at z≃6. This is what JWST will observe in detail for z$\gtrsim$7–20.



• With proper survey strategy (area AND depth), JWST can trace the entire reionization epoch and detect the first star-forming objects.

• Objects at z$\gtrsim$9 are rare, since volume element is small and JWST samples brighter part of LF. JWST needs the quoted sensitivity/aperture (A), FOV (=Ω), and λ-range (0.7-28 μm) to detect First Light objects.

Power of combination of Grism and Broadband for WFC3







Lessons from the Hubble ACS grism surveys "GRAPES" and "PEARS" (Malhotra et al. 2005; Cohen et al. 2007; Ryan et al. 2007, ApJ, 668, 839):

- (a) Spectro-photo-z's from HST grism + BViz(JH) considerably more accurate than photo-z's alone, with much smaller catastrophic failure %.

- (b) Redshifts for $\gtrsim$13,000 objects to AB$\gtrsim$27.0–27.5 mag; $\sigma_z/(1+z)\lesssim 0.04$.

- (c) WFC3 will provide full panchromatic sampling from 0.2–1.7 μm: UV and near-IR broad-band imaging and R$\sim$100 grism spectroscopy.

- This yields high accuracy spectro-photo-z's (spz's $\lesssim$2–3%) for faint galaxies of all types to AB$\simeq$27.0–29.0 mag (10σ in $\sim$2–80 orbits/filter).

(2) Faint-end LF-slope evolution (fundamental, like local IMF)







Faint-end LF-slope at z$\gtrsim$1 with accurate ACS grism z's to AB$\lesssim$27 (Cohen et al.; Ryan et al. 2007, ApJ, 668, 839) constrains hierarchical formation:

- Star-formation and SN feedback produce different faint-end slope-evolution: new physical constraints (Khochfar ea. 2007, ApJL, 668, L115).

- JWST will provide fainter spectra (AB$\lesssim$29) and spectro-photometric redshifts to much higher z ($\lesssim$20). JWST will trace α-evolution for z$\lesssim$12.

- Can measure environmental impact on faint-end LF-slope α directly.

- Expect convergence to slope $|\alpha|\equiv 2$ at z>6 before feedback starts.

- Constrain onset of Pop III SNe epoch, Type II & Type Ia SN-epochs.

(2) Epoch dependent major merger rate to AB$\lesssim$27–29, X-ray n(z)







Ryan et al. (2007, 2008): HST/ACS grism epoch-dependent galaxy pairs fraction for AB$\lesssim$27 mag, z$\lesssim$6: spectro-photo-z's for both objects in pair.

Galaxy major ($0.25\leq M_2/M_1\leq 1$) merger density compared to Chandra SDSS QSO density vs. epoch: similar curves except for ∼1 Gyr offset?

$\Rightarrow$ Qualitatively supports the hydro models: there may be a ∼1 Gyr delay between major mergers and visible SMBH feeding — AGN.

Panchromatic filters can map the entire epoch dependent merger & AGN-growth history, and the AGN–merger time delay $\Delta t(M, M_2/M_1, L)$ for 10^6 galaxy pairs at AB$\lesssim$29 mag, z$\lesssim$7.

(3) Ages of Radio and X-ray hosting galaxies vs. epoch





Cohen et al. (2008):

GOODS/VLT BVizJHK images + VLT redshifts

+ Best fit Bruzual-Charlot (2003) stellar SED

+ power law AGN.

A significant blue power-law needs to be added.

(3) Ages of Radio and X-ray hosting galaxies vs. epoch





Cohen et al. (2008):

GOODS/VLT BVizJHK images + VLT redshifts

+ Best fit Bruzual-Charlot (2003) stellar SED

+ power law AGN.

A significant red power-law needs to be added.



• X-ray and Radio galaxies are a bit older than the general field population at the same redshift, but by no more than $\lesssim$0.5–1 Gyr on average.





Cohen et al. (2008): Best fit 2μm AGN-fraction & A_V vs. Stellar Mass for X-ray hosting and field gxys.

- In hydrodynamical models (Hopkins et al. 2006), dust and gas are expelled *after* the starburst peaks and *before* before the AGN becomes visible.

- Older galaxies appear to have less dust. But age-Fe/H relation.

- Future facilities will map weak AGN-fraction of $\lesssim 10^6$ objects inside $\gtrsim 10^7$ faint galaxies at AB$\lesssim$31 mag, z$\lesssim$7.

(6) Summary of Future Science Capabilities

What questions will JWST be able to address after 2013?

(1) Find First Light sources — Pop III dominated objects — at z$\gtrsim$8–10, and how they started H-reionization.

(2) Map the faint-end of the dwarf galaxy LF from z$\simeq$12 to z$\lesssim$6 and its faint-end slope evolution.

(3) How did Pop III objects seed the first Pop-II dominated objects: dwarf galaxies? How did their UV output finish H-reionization at z$\simeq$6–7?

What questions will HST/WFC3 be able to address after May 2009?

(1) Provide unprecedented panchromatic (λ0.2–1.1μm), wide (0.1 deg^2), deep (AB$\lesssim$30 mag) imaging & accurate spz's ($\lesssim$2%) for z$\lesssim$7.

(2) HST's proper sampling and stable PSF's will study & subtract weak AGN variability, and the spatial distribution of physical SED parameters.

(3) The onset of AGN during galaxy assembly at z$\gtrsim$6, and the origin of the Hubble sequence since z$\simeq$1–2.

What questions will E-VLA address after 2010, and SKA after 2015?

(1) Map the entire epoch dependent merger & AGN-growth history, from $\lesssim 10^6$ weak AGN in 10^7 faint galaxies to AB$\lesssim$31, z$\lesssim$7.

(2) How much earlier did the epoch-dependent major merger density peak, compared to the peak X-ray or radio selected AGN ρ(z)?

(3) How much older are Radio and X-ray selected galaxies (0.5–1 Gyr?) than the typical faint field galaxy age at the same z (0.1–0.3 Gyr)?

(4) How does AGN growth stay in pace with gxy assembly & bulge growth? How did the M_{SMBH} vs. M_{bulge} mass relation come into place?

(5) Measure the environmental impact on faint-end LF-slope α(z) directly, and constrain feedback(z) — from Type II, Pop I/Type Ia SN, & AGN.

Essential requirement for this: deep E-VLA/SKA surveys that are closely coordinated with the best HST, Chandra, JWST, etc., surveys.

SPARE CHARTS



At the end of H-reionization, dwarfs had beaten the Giants by z$\simeq$6, but ...

"You've done it now, David - Here comes his mother."

What comes around, goes around ... He-reionization by AGN at z$\simeq$3?

- References and other sources of material shown:

http://www.asu.edu/clas/hst/www/jwst/ [Talk, Movie, Java-tool]

http://wwwgrapes.dyndns.org/udf_map/index.html [Clickable HUDF map]

http://www.jwst.nasa.gov/ and http://www.stsci.edu/jwst/

http://ircamera.as.arizona.edu/nircam/

http://ircamera.as.arizona.edu/MIRI/

http://www.stsci.edu/jwst/instruments/nirspec/

http://www.stsci.edu/jwst/instruments/guider/

Gardner, J. P., Mather, J. C., Clampin, M., Doyon, R., Greenhouse, M. A., Hammel, H. B., Hutchings, J. B., Jakobsen, P., Lilly,S. J., Long, K. S., Lunine, J. I., McCaughrean, M. J., Mountain, M., Nella, J., Rieke, G. H., Rieke, M. J., Rix, H.-W., Smith, E. P., Sonneborn, G., Stiavelli, M., Stockman, H. S., Windhorst, R. A., & Wright, G. S. 2006, Space Science Reviews, 123, 485–606 (astro-ph/0606175)

Mather, J., & Stockman, H. 2000, Proc. SPIE Vol. 4013, 2

Windhorst, R. A., et al. 2007, Advances in Space Research, Vol. 42, p. 1–10, in press (astro-ph/0703171) "High Resolution Science with High Redshift Galaxies"

Northrop Grumman Expertise in Space Deployable Systems

- Over 45 years experience in the design, manufacture, integration, verification and flight operation of spacecraft deployables
- 100% mission success rate, comprising over 640 deployable systems with over 2000 elements



(2) How HST/WFC3 and JWST will measure Galaxy Assembly



One of the remarkable discoveries of HST was how numerous and small faint galaxies are — the building blocks of the giant galaxies seen today.

THE HUBBLE DEEP FIELD CORE SAMPLE ($I < 26.0$)



z	Age ($q_o = 0.5$)
0.19	77%
0.44	58%
0.54	52%
0.64	48%
0.74	44%
0.87	39%
0.97	36%
1.03	35%
1.14	32%
1.24	30%
1.41	27%
1.61	24%
1.76	22%
2.05	19%
2.57	15%
3.59	10%

(2) How HST/WFC3 and JWST can measure Galaxy Assembly

• Galaxies of all Hubble types formed over a wide range of cosmic time, but with a notable transition around $z \simeq 0.5$–1.0:

(1) Subgalactic units rapidly merge from $z \simeq 7 \longrightarrow 1$ to grow bigger units.

(2) Merger products start to settle as galaxies with giant bulges or large disks around $z \simeq 1$. These evolved mostly passively since then, resulting in the giant galaxies that we see today.

• JWST can measure how galaxies of all types formed over a wide range of cosmic time, by accurately measuring their distribution over rest-frame structure and type as a function of redshift or cosmic epoch.

Total Ell/S0 Sabc Irr/Mergers



- JWST can measure how galaxies of all Hubble types formed over a wide range of cosmic time, by measuring their redshift distribution as a function of rest-frame type.
- For this, the types must be well imaged for large samples from deep, uniform and high quality multi-wavelength images, which JWST can do.

Driver et al. 1998, Astrophys. J. Letters, 496, L93

Dynamical ages of Dwarf Galaxies at z≃4–6?





- Select all isolated, nearly unresolved ($2r_e \lesssim 0\farcs3$), round ($1-b/a \lesssim 0.3$) HUDF B-drops, V-drops, and i-drops. to AB=29.0 mag
- Construct average image stack and light-profiles of these dwarf galaxies at z≃4, z≃5, and z≃6.
- If these compact, round objects are intrinsically comparable, each stack has the S/N of ∼5000 HST orbits (≃300 JWST hrs; Hathi ea. 2008 AJ).

Dynamical ages of Dwarf Galaxies at z≃4–6?





- HUDF sky-subtraction error is 2–3.10^{-3} or AB≃29.0–30.0 mag/arcsec^2
- Average 5000-orbit compact, round dwarf galaxy light-profile at z≃6–4 deviates from best fit Sersic n≃1.0 law (incl. PSF) at r≳0.″27–0.″35.
- If interpreted as virial radii in hierarchical growth, these imply dynamical ages of τ_{dyn}≃0.1-0.2 Gyr at z≃6–4 for the enclosed masses.

⇔ Comparable to their SED ages (Hathi et al.2007, AJ; astro-ph/0710.0007).

⇒ Global starburst that finished reionization at z≃6 started at z≃6.6?

(1) Predicted Galaxy Appearance for JWST at $z \simeq 1$–15



- The uncertain rest-frame UV-morphology of galaxies is dominated by young and hot stars, with often copious amounts of dust superimposed.
- This makes comparison with very high redshift galaxies seen by JWST complicated, although with good images a quantitative analysis of the restframe-wavelength dependent morphology and structure can be made.

(1) Predicted Galaxy Appearance for JWST at z≃1–15

HST z=0 JWST z=2 z=5 z=9 z=15



With proper restframe-UV training, JWST can quantitatively measure the evolution of galaxy morphology and structure over a wide range of cosmic time:

- (1) Most disks will SB-dim away at high z, but most formed at $z \lesssim 1$–2.
- (2) High SB structures are visible to very high z.
- (3) Point sources (AGN) are visible to very high z.
- (4) High SB-parts of mergers/train-wrecks, etc., are visible to very high z.



- A steep LF of z≃6 objects (Yan & Windhorst 2004a, ApJL, 600, L1) could provide enough UV-photons to complete the reionization epoch at z≃6 (if $f_{esc} \gtrsim 10\%$).

- Pop II dwarf galaxies may not have started shining *pervasively* much before z≃7–8, or no H-I would be seen in the foreground of z≳6 quasars.

- JWST will measure this numerous population of dwarf galaxies from the end of the reionization epoch at z≃6 into the epoch of First Light (Pop III stars) at z≳10.

Caveat: Can the Hard-UV of weak AGN outshine Dwarf Galaxies?



- In principle, the hard-UV of QSO's and weak AGN can outdo the young SED's of LBG's or dwarf galaxies, but likely by no more than $\gtrsim$1 dex.



- [LEFT] Simulated merger of two disk galaxies at three different times, including the effects of SMBH growth and AGN feedback by Springel, di Matteo, Hernquist (2005, ApJ, 620, 79). Shown is the gas distribution with color indicating temperature, and brightness indicating gas density.

- [RIGHT] Evolution of the accretion rate onto the SMBH (top) and the SF-rate (bottom). Red dots mark the times of the three images.

- Overlap between Tadpoles and Variables is very small — 1 object!

$\Leftrightarrow$ In hydrodynamical simulations, the object resembles a tadpole galaxy $\sim$0.7 Gyr after the merger starts, the AGN is triggered and expels the dust $\gtrsim$1.6 Gyr after the merger starts, *i.e.*, $\gtrsim$1 Gyr after the tadpole stage.

- In future, study this for $\gtrsim 10^5$ mergers and $\gtrsim 10^4$ weak AGN at z$\lesssim$8.