

REGION IS

th significant convection (Narayan, Igunowicz 2000). The approach followed by a 1992) has been based on the idea that eting a low specific angular momentum the Brows its rangentia field 1 value of λ , the infalling gas does not Relation $\sim 2\lambda^2 r_s$, and depending on etic field annihilation, or generation (see, ie, & Balbus 1996), it may not become an it falls to within tens of Schwarzschild rizon. Recent radio polarization measure-1999; Aitken et al. 2000) seem to support e expected for such a low angular mo- $\nu = 3 \times 10^{11} \text{ Hz}$ [:lia et al. (2000, 2001), we demonstrated an structure, with a magnetic field domihal component inferred from earlier magnetohydrodynamic simulations (Brandenburg, Nordlund, & Stein 1995; Hawley et al. 1996), not only produces the millimeter bump but also accounts for these polarization characteristics, especially the $\sim 90^{\circ}$ flip of the polarization angle near

TWO IMPORTANT





1.0 mm

(With Bromley and Liu 2001; with Falcke and Agol 2000; with Hollywood 1995)



THE PARTICLE

DISTRIBUTION THE MM, IR, AND X-RAY SPECTRAL COMPONENTS PARTIALLY SERFACES OR ECTRAL COMPONENTS (T~10¹⁰ – 10¹¹ ROMBICERS OR ECTRAL FOR THE MOLOFFIED MB PLASMA THERMAL PARTICLES VITURE OF MOLOFFIED 1: SEE ALSO MAHADEVAN 1998).

BUT THE LACK OF AN OBVIOUS PARTICLE ACCELERATION MECHANISM HAS INFRETO REMARKONS (MARKOFF ET AL. 2001; NAYAKSHIN ET AL. 2003; YUAN ET AL 2003).

GIVEN THE SUSPECTED ENVIRONMENT, AN OBVIOUS PROCESS TO **ACOLUTER HONOGHPARTICLES INTERACTING RESONANTLY WITH PLASMA CENTER OF PARTICLES INTERACTING RESONANTLY WITH PLASMA CENTER OF PARTICLES INTERACTING RESONANTLY WITH PLASMA MELIA 2004**).

THIS MAY HAPPEN EITHER LOCALLY VIA A CORONAL-TYPE BREAKOUT, **ERIGANE MENTINATIVE ACCRETION RATE.** THE EMISSION SPECTRA ARE DIFFERENT.

ALSO, SOME ELECTRONS DIFFUSE OUT TO LARGER RADII WHERE THEY PRESUMABDIOPS PEDDICE



THE PARTICLE DISTRIBUTION DEPENDS ON:

N, B, WHICH GOVERN COOLING RATE (MOSTLY COULOMB AND FURBURENRSPECTRUM, WHICH GOVERNS SCATTERING & SPATIALFSCAPE, WHICH DETERMINES DIFFUSION, AND INJECTE DISPECTRUM, PRESUMABLY MB (WITH KT)

CONSIDER 3 CASES:

A R = 2.5 R_s N ~ 1 x 10⁷ cm⁻³ B = 9 G KT/Mc² = 25 (STEADY) B R = 0.22 R_s N ~ 20 x 10⁷ cm⁻³ B = 44 G KT/Mc² = 34 (CHANDRA) C R = 1.9 R_s N ~ 18 x 10⁷ cm⁻³ B = 43 G KT/Mc² = 10 (XMM)

PARTICLE DIFFUSION

A Steady particle luminosity: L(γ >100) ~ 10³⁷ ergs s⁻¹ ~ 20% of ACCRETION ENERGY C L(γ >100) ~ 10⁴⁰ ergs s⁻¹, which sustains a 2-day radio flare Like 2002-10-04







THE PARTICLE DISTRIBUTION DEPENDS ON:

N, B, WHICH GOVERN COOLING RATE (MOSTLY COULOMB AND FURBURENRSPECTRUM, WHICH GOVERNS SCATTERING & SPATIALFSCAPE, WHICH DETERMINES DIFFUSION, AND INJECTE DISPECTRUM, PRESUMABLY MB (WITH KT)

CONSIDER 3 CASES:

A R = 2.5 R_s N ~ 1 x 10⁷ cm⁻³ B = 9 G KT/Mc² = 25 (STEADY) B R = 0.22 R_s N ~ 20 x 10⁷ cm⁻³ B = 44 G KT/Mc² = 34 (CHANDRA) C R = 1.9 R_s N ~ 18 x 10⁷ cm⁻³ B = 43 G KT/Mc² = 10 (XMM)

PARTICLE DIFFUSION

A Steady particle luminosity: L(γ >100) ~ 10³⁷ ergs s⁻¹ ~ 20% of ACCRETION ENERGY C L(γ >100) ~ 10⁴⁰ ergs s⁻¹, which sustains a 2-day radio flare Like 2002-10-04







THE PARTICLE DISTRIBUTION DEPENDS ON:

N, B, WHICH GOVERN COOLING RATE (MOSTLY COULOMB AND FURBURENRSPECTRUM, WHICH GOVERNS SCATTERING & SPATIALFSCAPE, WHICH DETERMINES DIFFUSION, AND INJECTE DISPECTRUM, PRESUMABLY MB (WITH KT)

CONSIDER 3 CASES:

A R = 2.5 R_s N ~ 1 x 10⁷ cm⁻³ B = 9 G KT/Mc² = 25 (STEADY) B R = 0.22 R_s N ~ 20 x 10⁷ cm⁻³ B = 44 G KT/Mc² = 34 (CHANDRA) C R = 1.9 R_s N ~ 18 x 10⁷ cm⁻³ B = 43 G KT/Mc² = 10 (XMM)

PARTICLE DIFFUSION

A Steady particle luminosity: L(γ >100) ~ 10³⁷ ergs s⁻¹ ~ 20% of ACCRETION ENERGY C L(γ >100) ~ 10⁴⁰ ergs s⁻¹, which sustains a 2-day radio flare Like 2002-10-04







LOOK FOR COORDINATED MULTI-WAVELENGTH OBSERVATIONS LATER THIS YEAR





1.5 mm (With Bromley and Liu 2001; with Falcke and Agol 2000; with Hollywood 1995)



Long-Term Radio Periodicity

(Zhao et al. 2001)



Folded light curve



Implications of the radio periodicity

÷n,

mm

bump r

Ş

- This 106-day period is much longer than the dynamical time scale where the emission is produced.
- Strong internal coupling would require the disk to precess as a rigid body.
- It may be the signature of a precessing disk about a spinning black hole.

Precession Period:

$$P = \frac{\pi r_0^{2.5} r_i^{0.5} [1 - (r_i/r_0)^{2.5}]}{5 a M [1 - (r_i/r_0)^{0.5}]}$$

Black Hole Spin:

$$a/M \sim 0.1 \ (r_i/3r_S)^{0.5} (r_o/30r_S)^{2.5}$$



دm دm ک emission

Polarization

